

PROCEEDINGS
of the
NATIONAL ATHLETIC TRAINERS ASSOCIATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

June 7 - 11, 1974
Crown Center Hotel
Kansas City, Mo.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P a g e
Invocation -----	2; 303
Appointment of Executive Director -----	121
Election of Vice President -----	440
Remarks:	
Dr. Samuel Fennig -----	382
Dr. Sherry -----	444
Treasurer's Report -----	2
Committee Reports:	
Audio-Visual Aids -----	5
Certification -----	75; 108; 421; 441; 449
Drug Education -----	7; 60; 364
Ethics -----	33
Change in Code -----	388
Grants & Scholarship -----	37
History and Archives -----	39
Honor Awards -----	44
International Games -----	53
Journal -----	95
Membership -----	370
National Convention -----	24; 314
Placement Committee -----	63; 411
Professional Education -----	174; 395
Public Relations -----	122; 404
Recruitment -----	124
Research and Injury -----	125
Liaison Reports:	
American Academy of Pediatrics -----	131
American Association of Health, Physical Education and Recreation -----	131; 249
American College Health Association -----	134
American College of Sports Medicine -----	136
American Corrective Therapy Association--	136

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Liaison Reports (cont'd)	
American Medical Association, Committee on Medical Aspects of Sports -----	137
American Physical Therapy Association -----	137
Joint Commission on Competitive Aspects of Sports -----	159
N.C.A.A. Rules Committee -----	160
National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment -----	165
National Federation of State High School Athletic Association -----	166
Olympic Committee -----	166; 434; 451; 455
Appointment of Liaison Representatives to Allied Organizations for 1974-1975 -----	171; 406; 438
Discussion of non-members being allowed to take Certification Exam -----	171
Discussion on USOU, Pan-American, Olympic and International Games -----	403; 434; 451; 455
Ad Hoc Committee on Women's Athletic Training -----	349
Minorities in Athletic Training -----	409
Amendments to Constitution -----	266
Schering Symposium -----	266
Publications Trademark -----	268
Federal Legislation on Amateur Sports -----	268
Legislation involving NATA -----	269
National Coordinating Council on Drug Education -----	276

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P a g e
Athletic Equipment Managers Association-----	276
Library File for Journal -----	277
Mal-Practice Professional Liability Insurance -----	279
"Concept Ultrasonic Therapy Equipment Performance Standard" -----	280
Discussion of George E. Fern Company -----	410
National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics -----	281
Increase of Student Dues -----	281
Berkshire Sports Medicine Institute Massachusetts Pilot Study on High School Trainers -----	209
Membership Status -----	284
Review and Record Annual Appointments -----	288
Kennedy Foundation -----	288
Official Membership Roster -----	289
Discussion of Voting Privilege for Active Members -----	292
Pay for NCAA Championship Events -----	294
Bylaws Discussion -----	296
Convention Report -----	300; 303
Liaison Representation to Equipment Manufacturers Association -----	411

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P a g e
Resolution from NBA -----	414
Physical Fitness Test -----	416
Crest Emblem -----	431
Tape of the Month -----	442
Recommendation re: footwear -----	442; 447
Bobby Gunn Scholarship Award -----	466
Adjournment -----	481

FRIDAY EVENING SESSION

June 7, 1974

The Pre-Convention Meeting of the Board of Directors of the National Athletic Trainers Association was convened at the Crown Center Hotel, Kansas City, Missouri, at 7:05 o'clock p.m., Mr. Robert Gunn, President, presiding, with the following being present:

Mr. Robert Gunn, President.

Mr. Otho Davis, Executive Director.

Mr. Frank George.

Mr. Francis J. Sheridan.

Mr. Craig J. Lewellyn.

Mr. Kent Falb.

Mr. Bill Flentje.

Mr. Eddie Lane.

Mr. Lee.

Mr. Lewis C. Cowl.

Mr. Smith.

Mr. Richard Melhart.

Mr. Bruce Melin.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe we are now ready to get started.

I would like to open the meeting with our

usual prayer.

Most gracious heavenly Father, we thank you for this day; we thank you for the blessings of this life; we thank you for our families, our wives and children. Lead us and guide us in our deliberations always with You upper most in our minds. Give us compassion and understanding. We ask all of this of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen.

The first item on the agenda tonight, a copy of which you all have, has to do with the Treasurer's Report.

...At this point, Mr. Davis summarized the Treasurer's Report...

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there anything you desire to ask for an explanation on with regard to this report?

Is there anything you cannot answer to your various districts for?

MR. DAVIS: Let me say that our balance has been steadily growing during the last four years.

Looking back at 1971, the total was \$19,151. It has jumped from that figure to \$27,000 and now last year to \$43,000.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there any question or discussion on this report?

MR. CROWL: There is one thing I don't quite understand. On the receipts we got watches which cost \$674 and, in relation to disbursements, we have a figure of about \$49, plus a refund of \$165. It sounds as if this is a losing proposition.

MR. DAVIS: We have watches in stock and for sale that have not been sold. Next year's report will represent the difference. The income from your

watches will be greater.

The same thing in respect to this applies to Journal expense versus income.

As you know, the cost of paper has gone up this past year. They have a real good buy and we have another \$2,000 of surplus in backstock of paper for printing.

MR. GEORGE: With regard to the Professional Advancements Committee, is that in reality the Professional Education Committee? In other words, what is the Professional Advancements Committee? That is under reimbursements to the President.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That should be Education, because it started out originally as the Professional Advancements Committee. I think if you check it, however, the wording should be "Professional Education".

MR. GEORGE: And that figure is about what we gave them?

PRESIDENT GUNN: It is exactly what we gave them.

MR. DAVIS: That is right. Originally it was the Professional Advancements Committee.

MR. SMITH: Up above it would be "Education and Receipts"?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

MR. LANE: Will we receive a breakdown in relation to grants and scholarships -- the Grants and Scholarships Committee expenses? I notice they are submitting a budget for \$1,500 and they spent \$2,300 this year.

MR. DAVIS: I will have to find that out for you.

MR. LANE: I believe we had a bunch of printing last year.

MR. DAVIS: It was about an eight-page printing form.

MR. LANE: On the second page, maintaining the mailing list, \$1,296. Was that changes in addresses etcetera?

MR. DAVIS: Changes and deletions.

We had 33e, I believe, deletions of members because of delinquent dues this year. It is running over a dollar a head when you are processing now, plus the services of Lafayette mailing having gone from \$2 to \$3 an hour now.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there anything else you can find?

These have all been good questions and they are questions that your districts should ask.

MR. SMITH: What do the attorney fees represent?

MR. DAVIS: They represent filing for the logo, applications, trademark applications.

There are two attorney fees. In fact, on this report, there would be four other lawyers' fees. We have a Journal expenditure for copyright. This is \$5 an issue.

MR. GEORGE: How about that non-profit, that attorney's fee -- what does that show? In other words, did we not have to apply to be a non-profit organization?

MR. DAVIS: That was some years ago.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That wasn't this year.

MR. GEORGE: Maybe part of that is in Pinky's thing. He told me there was some kind of thing he had to do in order to raise money for the non-profit.

MR. DAVIS: He had a CPA file for it and that would be absorbed in grants and scholarships.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Are there further questions or discussion?

MR. CROWL: I would move acceptance of the report as corrected.

MR. LANE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that the Treasurer's Report be accepted. All in favor indicate by raising their right hand; opposed by like sign. It is unanimously carried.

Now, let's move on to our Audio-Visual Aids Committee Report.

They have, I think, a recommendation and a budget request of sorts.

Their recommendation is under III and I don't think there is any action necessary on this recommendation. They are just asking that each District Director ask their district people to contact any member of the Audio-Visual Aids Committee with worthy information in relation to audio-visual aids.

If you find something that is of a local nature that does not necessarily have national coverage and you think it is worthwhile, then it behooves you, as a District Director, to get that to the Audio-Visual Aids Committee for their consideration.

I don't believe any action is necessary on that.

On his budget request, all he requests is

a carry-over. I think this was reflected in the Treasurer's Report. They did not spend any money.

MR. MELHART: They spent \$54.

MR. DAVIS: \$54, yes.

I would like to say that instead of having to carry it over, so that we do not get into using the term "carry-over" from one year to the other, let's reappropriate \$500 for fiscal year 1974-1975.

MR. CROWL: You don't have to show anything inasmuch as it wasn't used. We allotted them that much. I don't think it makes any difference.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It is just reflected in the Treasurer's Report. It wasn't intended. There isn't any balance required.

Actually, I don't think it is required even in the budget because we are not that technical with our budget. The amount we have coming in isn't the amount we have going out.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Therefore, is it your pleasure then to approve a budget request, approve a budget, as a Board approve a budget of \$500, is that the sense? Apparently that is the sense.

MR. GEORGE: I would make a motion we approve \$500 as a budget for the Audio-Visual Aid Committee for 1974-1975.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that the Audio-Visual Committee be awarded a budget of \$500 for the year 1974-1975.

All in favor signify by raising their right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is unanimously carried.

Now, our Certification Committee is certainly one of our most important ones and Lindsay is coming in later this evening. Therefore, we will pass that by and move to the Drug Education Committee.

With regard to the report of the Drug Education Committee, where do you want to start on that, Otho?

MR. DAVIS: Well, this thing goes back to San Francisco in January.

I was approached on the basis that we should make a recommendation to the NCAA to recommend someone to fill Al Hart's position on the Drug Education Committee and this came up because there was another position involving that of a trainer and we were not asked to make a recommendation. During the conversation, it was stated there would be a vacancy for the Drug Education Committee.

On January 25th, at the Mid-Year Board Meeting, we appointed John Wells from Louisiana State as our Drug Education Committee for NATA and he was to be our recommendation to the NCAA.

Now, this material, the letter from Tom Collins, was sent to all NCAA Committee members, the material you have in front of you here, along with a copy of my letter.

Then, Byers, does not wish to go along with us on our recommendation. It was finally approved, not by the NCAA Committee of Committees but by their council.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It was by their Executive Committee or their three-man group.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, the Executive Committee.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The President, Director and Secretary-Treasurer, three people -- Mr. Byers,

Dr. Chapman and the other man.

MR. DAVIS: So it goes on, gentlemen, because of our involvement with the Dellums Bill and legislation and things pertaining to this legislation that Walter Byers does not like.

It appears he does not want to honor the recommendation of NATA.

However, he did contact Phil Donnelly and Phil wrote him a letter that he would not accept because he was not appointed.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I refer you to the letter of January 25th, 1974. The last paragraph has absolutely nothing to do with the nomination of John Wells or the recommendation of John Wells for their consideration. There is nothing in the correspondence previously written that says that NATA demanded or appointed -- they recommended -- which was the way it had started about three or four years ago.

We were approached by the NCAA people, by Mr. Pritchard, basically to recommend for their consideration an NATA member as a trainer representative to the NCAA Drug Education Committee, at which time I nominated a person, Al Hart. The Board approved the recommendation and the NCAA Drug Education Committee accepted it. Upon his death we were requested again to recommend someone and in January you did it, John Wells, and this is what has transpired.

It is odd to me that we are talking about supposedly an NCAA Drug Education Committee and this is the reason they are saying, "I am advising Mr. Davis of the growing impatience of this Association with the NATA as they seek to exert jurisdiction and promote federal legislation which is not in the best interest of member institutions which belong to the NCAA. At this time (April 25th) it was known by the NCAA that the original bill, the foot-in-the-door so to speak, the Dellums Amendment had served its purpose and that

the Forsythe Amendment was what we wanted and so the Dellums, per se, should not at this time have entered into any thinking in their appointments."

MR. DAVIS: On March 4th we received a copy of a letter from Robert Pritchard to Walter Byers that said -- "Our Committee is concerned about the appointment of a trainer to the Drug Education Committee."

Now, I don't think you have a copy of this letter but then it goes on to say, "This could affect our working relationships with that organization. Tom Coombs has received from the Trainers Organization their recommendation of one Mr. John Wells of Louisiana State University for consideration."

Then, on March 11th, Byers' letter back to Pritchard, in which he sends a carbon to the President of the NCAA, their Treasurer, with a blind carbon copy to Tom Coombs and he still does not correspond with us in any way.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Now, after hearing some review of this correspondence, let's go back here just a second and dwell on this for a moment.

In other words, what did we do as a Board, as an Association? We recommended and pretty traditionally the NCAA Council has been reluctant to accept restrictions fostered by affiliated members in the appointment of the NCAA Committeemen.

Now, can you see any restrictions that were applied by NATA in this? You are going to be asked about this, I imagine, or should be by your various districts.

MR. FLENTJE: How did Phil Donnelly get mixed up in this?

PRESIDENT GUNN: I don't know. We tried to

find out but we don't know where he got into the picture.

The only thing I can find out , and Otho talked with Mr. Coombs and I talked to Dr. Cooper, I talked to Jim Higgins, who is the Chairman of the Committee on Committees of the NCAA, and the information I received from Dr. Cooper and Mr. Higgins was that if they so choose, their President, Secretary-Treasurer and Executive Director can make most any appointments they want. We had become aware of this in relation to what Otho was telling you pertaining to San Francisco, where they made an appointment that we knew nothing about.

That, really, in a sense, is a different thing. We don't have jurisdiction over the NCAA -- we don't attempt to -- but that when they request a nomination or recommendation from us, we do it according to the book and then it is ignored, then that is a different ballgame insofar as I am concerned.

By what we have done, we have fulfilled their requirements and then to get involved in some nebulous things such as this, that is again something different.

For example, they say, "from time to time affiliated members have suggested the coaching of a particular sport and the athletic director or trainer in this case is to be appointed to the particular NCAA Committee by one recommended by the appropriate affiliated member."

Now, this is exactly what happened, is it not, as it is reflected in your minds, your Board action?

He then went on to say, "furthermore, I believe the Officers are becoming impatient with the National Athletic Trainers Association in its efforts to become an exclusive union and to exert jurisdictional authority."

Now, in the light of this statement, I cannot see where that has any bearing on the NCAA Drug Education Committee.

"NATA's effort in Congress to require trainers to be certified by NATA before they can be employed by schools and colleges and to require all schools and colleges to have an NATA Certified Trainer at each competition is not appreciated in any way by the NCAA or, for that matter, those responsible for high school administration."

Now, there the picture begins to clarify itself a little bit.

"NATA's effort in Congress to require trainers to be certified by NATA" -- that has never appeared in any of the literature in Congress. He has been getting coached somewhere.

"And to require all schools and colleges to have an NATA Certified trainer at each competition is not appreciated in any way by the NCAA". Now, can you imagine an interested group saying that? Can you imagine, for example, the NCAA saying they do not appreciate any efforts to have trainers as competition? That in itself requires a little second thought.

First of all, this is not in the language of anything in the initial so-called Dellums Act and in the consequent Forsythe Amendment.

MR. DAVIS: The letter back to Pritchard was dated March 11th.

Now, on February 8th of this year, in a letter from Philip Brown, who is the NCAA and the National Federation of State High School Association lawyer, who represents both organizations in Washington, the third paragraph down states -- "Both the NCAA and the High School Federation strongly endorse and propose statistical or other studies of athletically related injuries which hold promise of reduction of the number of severity of such injuries.

Both organization thus support the general sentiments of your amendment."

Now, he is talking about what we are working for, the Forsythe Amendment.

Then he goes on with several other statements.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The date on that is February 8th, keep that in mind -- they support the efforts for the Forsythe Amendment, the study, the legal people, the legal representatives of the NCAA and the Federation.

Well, then, on April 25th we have this other letter wherein they advise us of the growing impatience of their association with NATA's effort with regard to federal legislation.

Now, on April 23rd, Congressman Forsythe wrote Mr. Brown a letter, which you have there, asking him as to how they wanted to broaden the scope.

Now, if I may, I would like to go back to the March 11th letter, which was not sent to anybody in the NATA. It was obtained by other means. This is what is so absurd about it.

"If the Trainers Association, because it does not particularly like Mr. Donnelly, decides not to cooperate with your Committee, we very much would like to have that information. I don't think that position will sell with anyone internally or externally, when we are trying to fight the use of drugs by the youth of this nation."

Well, you can really see the problem here. To me, it is really an explanation of senility.

MR. MELHART: It seems as though the right hand does not know what the left hand is doing. The lawyers, for example, are talking about the Forsythe Amendment and they are talking about the Dellums thing.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It becomes a personal vendetta apparently on the part of Walter Byers to block or object to anything that NATA is recommending.

MR. MELHART: I believe he is here in town and perhaps somebody ought to go see him.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We plan to see him or I think we should at least get it on record and notify him in writing.

Then, in his letter to Theil he said -- "Through a copy of this letter, I am advising Mr. Davis of the growing impatience of this Association as they seek to exert jurisdiction to promote federal legislation which is not in the best interest of member institutions which belong to the NCAA."

There is a contradiction right there.

MR. DAVIS: Let me say that further back in the agenda, in the green section, there are items there for the information of the Directors and that is about the third down in your book. There you will find, for example, that Districts Nos. 1, 2, 5 and 6 have expressed concern over the NCAA Drug Education Committee and then there are duplicates of the letters, with the exception of the one of May 13th and there also you will find Donnelly's answer.

MR. SHERIDAN: One thing with regard to this acceptance of recommendations and that is that if they do not accept the person we recommend, I don't think we should recommend any more. Just don't have any representation on there. I think we should also go on the record as to why.

If we recommend somebody and they don't accept them, I don't think we should let them appoint someone. If they want to appoint Phil, it is all well and good but then he does not represent us.

PRESIDENT GUNN: On the other hand, how would you make that note to your membership?

MR. SHERIDAN: We would have to come out with a statement from the Board that we had appointed or recommended Dr. Wells and they had not accepted him and, as a result, we are not going to recommend anyone else.

MR. LANE: I believe we have already done that in relation to the letter written by Otho.

MR. GEORGE: However, he mentioned something in relation to our membership. I think we ought to come out with a statement to the membership.

In other words, say that the NATA selection for representation to the NCAA Drug Committee was not accepted and, therefore, NATA will not be represented on this Committee. We don't pay his expenses there either.

Although I don't know John, I would not like it to be known he was not accepted.

MR. DAVIS: John is going to work with us.

PRESIDENT GUNN: John is Chairman of our Drug Education Committee.

MR. DAVIS: He is very active in the State of Louisiana.

MR. MELHART: On the other hand, before we make a statement, would it not be better to talk to them and see if possibly something could be worked out with the NCAA, both while we are here and if we have the time to do it?

MR. GEORGE: Perhaps on Monday we might be able to go over there.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Would you like to talk to Woody?

MR. MELHART: Yes.

MR. CROWL: What is the possibility of getting him in here?

PRESIDENT GUNN: He refused. He has been nominated and accepted by you as an Honorary Member and he refused to come to the Awards Banquet to receive his award.

Now, I tried for nearly a month, when I was both in the hospital and at home, to phone and try to get hold of Dr. Chapman, who is the President of NCAA, but I never could get him.

Therefore, I called Allen Eggert, who was the trainer at Rice. Dr. Chapman is a Professor at Rice and Allen finally got to see him and, according to Allen, Dr. Chapman had no real knowledge of this and evidenced no real interest in it. I guess he sort of disqualified himself from any responsibility in regard to it.

He has responsibility in connection with the NCAA and is supposedly on the Committee that made the appointment, which is a three-man committee, because I corresponded with, contacted and talked personally with Jim Higgins, who is Chairman of the NCAA Committee on Committees and he said that the Drug Education Committee did not fall within their jurisdiction and so he could not tell.

Well, no, I beg your pardon -- it was concerning their San Francisco appointment. We wanted to find out the process of appointment of people that bore our name as representatives, as Trainer Association representatives and we had no opportunity to even know what was happening. He said, well, that he had no jurisdiction over that particular committee but if we would get recommendations in, then they certainly would be honored.

I told him the history of the NCAA Drug Education Committee and he said there certainly was no problem there and so we assumed that the recommendation which was made following proper channels would be

accepted.

Mr. Pritchard and Dr. Cooper, who were both on the Committee, have expressed considerable concern and, as some of you may know, Dr. Cooper is slightly volatile and is highly disenchanted with this whole thing. He has been on their committee for quite a while and I am sure that Mr. Pritchard feels embarrassment and probably chagrin over this particular thing.

However, our concern now is not the fact that they have not accepted, really, but they have, on their own, appointed someone as bearing in essence your stamp of approval and the person has contradicted himself in writing and accepted it.

Now, with regard to this, does anyone know as to why Phil, for example, made that sort of 180 degree turn? I have reference to his letter wherein he said, "I will be happy to serve in the assigned capacity". Has anyone talked to Phil about this?

Do you wish to talk to Phil about this?

MR. CROWL: I feel that someone should. Is he here?

PRESIDENT GUNN: He has been here in relation to meetings of the Professional Education Committee.

MR. CROWL: Maybe he can shed a little light as to why the NCAA feels so strongly about this.

MR. LEE: I think that Frank should talk to him. He is the District Director.

MR. DAVIS: All right.

MR. GEORGE: I will be happy to talk to him.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is that all right?

there is not much we can do about it.

If they can pick any trainer they want, regardless of whether they are a member of this organization, well, that again, is something else.

MR. DAVIS: Let me ask you this -- are you going to carry this further, into our other organizations?

MR. FLENTJE: Well, if it is just a recommendation that we make and they do not follow it, that is one thing.

MR. DAVIS: Please now remember what you are saying, especially when you get into a discussion in relation to the Olympics.

MR. FLENTJE: It still goes. We don't like that either but then it is just unfortunate.

MR. GEORGE: The way I look at it is that if we are paying their way, if we pay for them to go to a function and we pay their expenses, etcetera, etcetera, then they are representing NATA. However, if the other organization pays for them or if Physical Therapy asks Frank Sheridan to go and not me, there is not much I can say about that, especially if they are going to pay his expenses.

PRESIDENT GUNN: However, he cannot go as your NATA representative.

MR. GEORGE: No way, but he can go on his own.

MR. DAVIS: However, they did ask us to make a recommendation.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is right. It is all in writing and it has been in writing. We have a file in relation to the initial appointment made pertaining to Al Hart.

Al was appointed to that and to our Drug Education Committee so that the same person would have dual responsibility.

MR. SMITH: Also, they asked for a recommendation at that time.

PRESIDENT GUNN: You bet, and we have all of the letters from Pritchard and the Board action nominating or recommending Al Hart and the complete acceptance of Al Hart.

MR. CROWL: They did not ask for Al -- they just asked for a recommendation.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is right.

MR. GEORGE: Because there was a lot of pressure being put on them at the time to accept a trainer whom they did not want to accept, not from NATA but from a specific trainer and I will also say "other people". In other words, other people, a group of people, were pressuring to have a certain trainer put on their committee. Dr. Pritchard did not like that and came to us and said, "will you please recommend who you think would be best", and so we recommended Al and again he asked this time, "please get your recommendations to me promptly", and I guess we did.

There is a lot of confusion.

For example, who can say that we in NATA don't like Phil or that he doesn't work his tail off in relation to the Education Committee or he is not active. There are not half a dozen guys more active than Phil has been. Therefore, like it has been said, there is a lot of confusion here.

MR. SHERIDAN: What I would like to know, in connection with what we have heard, is that really the feeling of NCAA or is it the feeling of Walter Byers personally?

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe that is a very good question.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't feel we should really hold anything against the NCAA for one guy. It is like them, in turn, holding something against the NATA in connection with one trainer.

Although I would go along with it, I still think we should come out with a statement to the effect for our members, that we have appointed someone and recommended someone and they have refused to accept our recommendation and so, as a result, we will not recommend anyone. I don't think that talking to Phil is going to change this in any way, because I think probably everybody in the room feels well, just to satisfy them, we are not going to recommend him.

Now, I am not saying he is or is not any good but why should we have them dictate to us who we are going to recommend.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Also, as a member, I would like to know Phil's reason for going against the Board's recommendation.

MR. DAVIS: When this recommendation came out in the Journal, from the minutes from the January meeting, a copy of that particular page was Xeroxed, was circled with a colored pen, and a copy of our Code of Ethics and that particular part of the minutes was sent to Phil so that he was aware of it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: From his letter to John, he obviously was aware of it.

MR. MELHART: And he hasn't communicated with either of you?

MR. DAVIS: Not about that, no.

MR. GEORGE: Just to send you a copy of the letter?

MR. DAVIS: That is all.

MR. GEORGE: And he did not say anything when he sent it to you?

MR. DAVIS: No.

Phil was over to see me twice, it was on something else, and that was on my mind at the time. However, he did not bring it up and I did not bring it up and so we did not say anything about it.

However, let's put the thing aside and discuss it further tomorrow afternoon.

MR. GEORGE: Perhaps we should ask him if he would like to come in and speak to us.

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes.

MR. SMITH: How far back does the original Committee go?

PRESIDENT GUNN: What original Committee?

MR. SMITH: The NCAA.

PRESIDENT GUNN: About two years before Al died.

MR. GEORGE: Possibly now it would be three years.

MR. SMITH: Approximately three years?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes, that we have been invited to recommend someone to the NCAA Drug Education Committee.

MR. SMITH: And that was the first time they asked for recommendations?

MR. GEORGE: I remember that it was in Denver.

MR. SHERIDAN: It was in 1970.

MR. GEORGE: 1970 or 1971.

MR. LANE: I think it was St. Louis.

MR. GEORGE: Maybe it was 1971.

MR. CROWL: Are we going to table this and talk to him?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

MR. SMITH: By the same token, is somebody not only going to talk to him but is someone also going to try to contact the NCAA?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Then we will table all of this until both sides have been contacted.

MR. DAVIS: Hopefully by Monday afternoon, I can get an appointment.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Here is something dated March 17, 1972:

"Dear Mr. Pritchard, please accept this letter as our recommendation of Al Hart, Ohio State University, as NATA Representative to your NCAA Drug Education Committee. This letter is a confirmation of our telephone conversation of March 17th and our previous correspondence. Thank you for your kindness and consideration of our recommendation."

Then I have a letter here dated April 28th from Al Hart addressed to Mr. Pritchard:

"Thanks to you and the Committee for accepting me as the NATA member of your Committee. It is indeed an honor for me and I hope I can be of assistance in the vital area of Drug Education. Please let me know

be most happy to cooperate in any way you desire. Hoping to hear from you in the near future."

MR. GEORGE: You mentioned Pinky in that. I would suggest you talk to Fran and get the whole story.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Perhaps we can table this for later discussion if you so desire.

I believe we have Fred Hoover ready to come in here and give us a report on the Convention Committee activities.

MR. FRED HOOVER: We are going to have a list made up to hand out to the exhibitors and also to members if desired, with regard to total registration. We have been passing out pages and not getting people on the mailing list we have been circulating all over the country. That is why we are going to do this.

Also, if you have not registered and if you want to attend any courses, please write that on your blue card.

Also, we have 486 preregistered.

Also, at this time, we just finished packing all of the preregistration packets.

Likewise, we have 67 people in the CPR course, 124 in the Modality Course, 202 in the Schering Course and we have sold 252 banquet tickets up to this time.

PRESIDENT GUNN: What is the capacity?

MR. HOOVER: 564, including the head table. As I say, we have sold 252 up to the present time.

PRESIDENT GUNN: There is no way there is going to be enough space, is there?

MR. COOPER: We are going to sell out our

tickets. We have practically given them the guarantee or will have by tonight. Of course, we can cut down on the speaker's table but that is about it.

Also, the books that we handed out to you were given to us by Bob Bowman. These will be put in each registration packet or be attached to it.

MR. GEORGE: They were given to us?

MR. HOOVER: Yes.

Now, let's see, is there anything else you want to know?

I don't presume you want me to go over the sites tonight, do you?

PRESIDENT GUNN: No.

MR. HOOVER: If you have any questions with regard to registration at this point, I will be happy to answer them.

MR. DAVIS: Let me say that he will be back here on Tuesday for his complete committee report.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Prepare your proposals or anything like that for presentation to the Convention Committee on Tuesday.

MR. FALB: Are you going to come out to Detroit any time this summer?

MR. HOOVER: We are going to find out from the President of Western Hotels the dates. If we are on beam in connection with the completion date of the new hotel, well, he is to let me know and then we, in turn, will have to go. However, we have to settle the matter this summer because we are at a three-year period with Detroit now.

MR. FALB: You have some problems?

MR. HOOVER: Well, we walked into this particular hotel wearing hard hats and when it was merely a piece of concrete coming out above the ground. That was the status of this particular hotel when we first saw it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe that some five years ago they indicated or said it would never be finished, is that right?

MR. HOOVER: Yes. However, you can see that today they are finished and we are holding our Convention here.

Now, in connection with Detroit, the way they talk, they say it will be finished by that time but, on the other hand, I don't know whether we will have to go with the Hilton or the Sheraton to handle our large crowd.

For example, at this particular convention, we have 568 rooms. In other words, we initially had 500 rooms committed here and we are pleasantly using 568 rooms, with 150 rooms committed at other hotels. Now, that is today.

Now, by the Detroit Convention, we are projecting to have 1,000 rooms.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Blocked off?

MR. HOOVER: Yes.

Now, one of the problems that we ran into here is that we could not have the stand-up cocktail party in connection with J&J. Also, we had to make use of the exhibit space on Sunday morning to have our educational courses. They will move in later in the day. Now, when we originally booked this hotel, we thought everything would be all right but since then, we have likewise grown. For example, we now have some 110 exhibits and, besides that, we are holding three courses on Sunday, two of them being from nine o'clock

in the morning to twelve noon and one until four o'clock, and then they have to start moving in the exhibits after twelve o'clock, after the completion of those particular courses.

Now, projecting as best we can, we feel that in the near future we may have to be going to Convention Centers. However, after getting some quotations from some of these Convention Centers, we feel that we are going to have to pay a big pile of money in connection with renting.

Now, we may have to have a headquarters hotel and then a Convention Center type of meeting place. This can be discussed later.

Now, we did sign with the hotel at Las Vegas and we signed in Boston and we are right in the hotels where we are now.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Now, if the Detroit one is finished, we will be all right because, as I understand it, it is supposed to be 1500 rooms.

MR. HOOVER: We are presently holding 1,000 at Las Vegas but they said if we get over 500 exhibits that we would be in trouble. That is about the size of that.

Now, all of this is the problem but, on the other hand, in connection with Detroit, I am going to listen to what your group says.

MR. FALB: The group in Detroit, the guys in Michigan have never gotten together and I think, being in the position you are in, you would encourage us to get together with you.

MR. HOOVER: We are encouraging that on this trip because we waited because of hotel commitments. We want the new hotel because it is the only one that can handle us on the basis of our currently projected growth.

However, when we started working with this particular hotel, we did not feel that we were going to fill 500 rooms here. However, we are over our limit there right now and, further, people have been writing and complaining about the fact they could not get suites. However, once in here, we have had no complaints because everything is fine.

When you do have complaints, all you can tell these folks is that they should have gotten their reservations in earlier.

Now, while we have a goodly number, it still amounts to being served on a "first come - first served" basis. That is really what it amounts to.

I would like to meet with the Districts with regard to these other areas because these matters have to be settled before we leave here.

Now, are there any further questions in relation to this particular convention? If not, thank you very much, and we will be reporting to you in more detail with regard to future convention sites later on in the convention.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe that this problem in connection with J&J has been resolved and it seems to be all right.

MR. HOOVER: Now, with regard to the J&J incident, perhaps this is the time to further discuss this matter.

In other words, what are we going to do in relation to this type of thing in the future.

I don't think we want to miss out on any of our own programs regarding it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: You mean the entertainment portion?

MR. HOOVER: As a group, for example, are

we to be concerned about, let us say, a 1200 individual stand-up cocktail party, space for same, when we start selecting these future hotels?

PRESIDENT GUNN: I don't think that as NATA we should be. This has just historically been their problem.

MR. FALB: However, I think that is something that has to be in the back of your mind.

MR. HOOVER: Well, that was in the back of my mind when we came in here because it has been a part of our program and in writing an outline in connection with the program, it is in there.

MR. FALB: How long ago did you come to this hotel, three years ago?

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe it was five years ago.

MR. HOOVER: I was here two years ago.

PRESIDENT GUNN: However, we decided on it five years ago, when it was just in the planning stage.

MR. HOOVER: What happened here was that we initially had this scheduled at the Muehlebach and then they had a chance to get several thousand health nurses, a health meeting of that type and so they booted us out and told us they were sorry. The convention they had a chance to get was a statewide convention and so they left us and, therefore, we really took this here on nothing but when the hill was here.

MR. FALB: All you presently have in connection with Detroit is a whole in the ground and a steel strike.

MR. HOOVER: This is something we will have to listen to but let's meet with your group when they

get here. Have you contacted the Regency people at all?

MR. FALB: I contacted the Hyatt House. They have contacted us, but on the other hand, they are at Dearborn.

MR. HOOVER: Maybe we ought to take this up later but then that might be a better idea.

MR. FALB: We will do that.

MR. HOOVER: It would be a lot safer out there, I know that.

PRESIDENT GUNN: How many rooms do they have?

MR. HOOVER: I don't know, but I understand it is going to be completed by 1975. Of course, we can check that out.

MR. FALB: Further, it is close to the airport. It is also a major thoroughfare for the family. It is near the Henry Ford Museum -- those things are not that far away. Also, you are out from the downtown area. I don't think anybody wants to walk around downtown Detroit at night.

MR. HOOVER: I think we can run a check on the Regency Hyatt House.

MR. FALB: As I say, it is in Dearborn and then there is another one down the street from it about a half a mile. Therefore, you can handle it on that basis if you have to.

MR. HOOVER: Well, these are some of the problems we have to think about before our Tuesday meeting.

MR. FALB: I would be embarrassed, being a representative from Detroit, of putting this

convention into the Hilton or the Sheraton Hotel. I know how many people complained and were embarrassed about the hotel in Baltimore because of the inconvenience, the heat and everything. We would have another "Baltimore" on our hands, I am afraid.

PRESIDENT GUNN: What alternatives from District 4 would you have for a city, just offhand?

MR. FALB: I will be coming in as President of District 4 and I have had contact with Columbus, Ohio.

MR. HOOVER: They are not large enough.

PRESIDENT GUNN: You pretty nearly need a facility now that has a minimum of 1500 rooms. Generally they will not block off any more than from 75 to 80 percent. As a matter of fact, 80 percent is a high figure because they have other clientele they have to look after.

MR. HOOVER: I have had offers from Columbus but they would want to go to their Convention Center and so we would have to be prepared to have to pay for more space.

MR. FALB: Insofar as the Convention Center is concerned, right down the street from this will be Cobo Hall. However, here you are talking about more money. Also, I don't think you can get in there at the present time because they are booked up some three or four years in advance. They went all out on bookings when McCormick Place here in Chicago burned down and they are still making out on that deal.

MR. CROWL: What about Chicago.

MR. FALB: I don't know anything about Chicago.

MR. HOOVER: Be prepared in relation to this on Tuesday when we come in because that is the time

we have on the agenda for doing this.

In the meanwhile, I will call the Hyatt House and check that out before I come to the meeting on Tuesday.

Do you have anything on this convention or have you heard any complaints or problems since you have been here?

Registration will open tomorrow. We have moved the registration to the first-floor level. We will have a table for pre-registration, registration and family registration.

We will have the FCA and tickets for "Fun World" and this type of thing, which will be on another table. However, we will all be operating as one big group and we will open and close at about six o'clock tomorrow -- open up early enough on Sunday so that we can take care of the people coming in Saturday night for courses beginning at nine o'clock the following morning.

PRESIDENT GUNN: How long will you be open on Monday?

MR. HOOVER: We will register all day.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Will you need help to get it out of the way?

MR. HOOVER: Ours will be very flexible. We will be moving it right along.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, we will help you should you need it.

MR. LANE: Is there a map available so that people will not get lost in going about the area here?

I did not notice any in the packet.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, in this case you will get lost even with a map.

MR. HOOVER: With regard to this registration, Miss Franklin had all of this typed up so that it took us only about two and a half hours today to stuff the programs and the banquet tickets into the envelopes and so we were very well organized. All of the information was stamped on the envelope and, therefore, at the present time we are in pretty good shape.

I might also say that the Secretaries will be wearing a different colored badge. We will pass those out when they register.

We have had a request that we get a different colored badge for them because many people spend a lot of time looking for the District Secretary. It will be a black badge so that it can be seen and found.

That is all I have.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Thank you very much, Fred.

Now, I think we will move on.

According to my agenda, you tabled further discussion in regard to the Drug Education Committee and the next item we have to consider is the Ethics Committee.

There is a letter from Joe concerning the correspondence with members of his committee on the San Diego charges situation, in relation to which I personally have not received any correspondence of a completely substantiating nature. However, it has all been through the news media. There have been some highly inflammatory articles in the news media in relation to it but our Ethics Committee does not feel that they have any jurisdiction.

Further, I don't think they have any sort of facts before them on which they can base any sort of

decision.

Further, they make no budget requests.

However, they submit for your consideration some changes in relation to the Code of Ethics: "An Athletic Trainer should do all in his power and ability to further develop and improve all branches of athletics and show no discrimination in his interests or efforts."

Then they also want to change Article I, Section 7 to read as follows:

"Any Trainer who by his conduct or comments publicly discredits or lowers the dignity of members of his profession is guilty of a breach of ethics."

Then, in relation to drugs, they recommend the following addition to the code.

"The entire membership of NATA takes a strong stand against the unauthorized or non-therapeutic use of drugs. NATA recognizes that the best and safest program is still comprised of good conditioning and athletic training principles. Furthermore, NATA feels that it is important to keep in mind that the real feeling of worth in a person's true image of himself comes only when he accepts and works within reality.

Any trainer who violates this stand of unauthorized and non-therapeutic use of drugs for himself and others is guilty of a breach of ethics.

MR. HOOVER: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I can interrupt here and say one more thing before I leave.

"On Monday, there will be posted downstairs, whether you have called a District Meeting or not, assigned rooms for District meetings, whether they are used or not. We did this by merely blocking out ten rooms. This information will be contained on the bulletin board downstairs. Now, if your District is not

holding the meeting, these rooms will still be assigned to you.

We decided to do this so that you, in turn, would not be looking for us trying to find a room.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Thank you for that information, Fred.

Now, gentlemen, you have had an opportunity to see these recommendations by the Code of Ethics Committee. What is your desire?

MR. SHERIDAN: Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion that we accept the recommended changes as proposed by the Ethics Committee.

MR. LEWELLYN: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made by Fran Sheridan and seconded by Craig Lewellyn that we accept the recommended changes of the Ethics Committee. Is there any discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising their right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is unanimous.

MR. DAVIS: Let me indicate to you that you have a long sheet in front of you -- "NFL Drug Program Zeros In on Charges".

Some of the changes you just made were made as a result of that particular article and were referred to the Ethics Committee, however, they did not feel they could do anything about it and they did not because in our particular code there was nothing to cover them. That is why some of these small changes were made and I am glad that Kent is in here tonight. These will be discussed, I am sure, on Sunday. That was another reason why we wanted to go over this Code of Ethics early, so that it could be brought out to the other groups on Sunday.

MR. FALB: As long as we are on this, let me ask this question.

Has there been any implication in relation to the Trainer at San Diego?

MR. DAVIS: It says right here he is using them for personal use. It doesn't mention the team per se. The only ones mentioned are the allegations in relation to the Redskins, which were published in the Washington papers and then on the wire service, and then also the San Diego Chargers. In this connection, officials were accused of passing out some ten-thousand high-powered pep pills and other pills over a ten-year period however, no charges were brought because the Statutes of Limitation had passed.

This is an outgrowth, as we all know, in relation to the previous suits about steroids and other things.

Now, the particular paragraph in reference to the trainers was this past year. It goes back into the last training camp. They talk about two or three time periods in relation to that article.

PRESIDENT GUNN: While it does not accuse the San Diego trainer, I think he was the one they were referring to, the new one. The other one mentioning San Diego refers to the old group.

MR. DAVIS: It is the trainers' group by name.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Incidentally, I am sure most all of you are well aware of it, and Kent can elaborate on it, or Otho or myself, and that is in relation to the Houston Ridge Case. I wish I had brought along the information on it. This involved a suit against the San Diego Chargers for an enormous amount of money and it was settled for some \$260,000. Correct me if I am wrong.

MR. FALB: It was settled at \$260,000 but

it started out with a two and a half million dollar suit.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The suit was settled for \$260,000, which was apparently paid for by the mal-practice insurance of the physician. The team, the coach and the trainer and the doctor were all sued and then, as an aftermath of this suit this pep pill thing came out.

MR. FALB: All that suit did was to open up a can of worms for all of us.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there anything further with regard to the report of the Ethics Committee?

If not, we will proceed to the next item on the agenda, which has to do with the report of the Grants and Scholarships Committee.

There are announcements in here of the recipients of the Eddie Wojecki Scholarship Award.

MR. LEWELLYN: Who is financing that?

PRESIDENT GUNN: It is Larson Laboratories, and they have been notified.

There are also the undergraduate scholarship awards and the postgraduate scholarship awards which you approved.

Then there is also the William E. Newell Scholarship and the President's Challenge Award, which is the PPI Grant, which has gone to Donald Cooper of Oklahoma State.

MR. FALB: Does anybody know if Kaverman will be here this time for the Undergraduate Scholarship Award?

PRESIDENT GUNN: You mean Donald Kaverman?

MR. FALB: Yes.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I don't know.

MR. DAVIS: I believe he will be here. That was the last I heard anyway.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Pinky has submitted a budget request and that is contained on the following page, two five-hundred dollar scholarships, which are within the bounds of board approval. There is also printing and so forth in the amount of \$300, contact mailings and \$250, all for a total of \$1,550.

That is the request of the Grants and Scholarships Committee in relation to their budget for 1974-1975.

MR. MELHART: Is that for printing scholarship forms?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

MR. CROWL: Do you want us to approve all of this in one lump?

MR. DAVIS: You have already approved it. All you have to do is to approve the budget. You previously approved the rest of it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: All Pinky is asking for now is your approval of his budget request.

MR. CROWL: I would make a motion we approve his budget request.

MR. FLENTJE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that we approve Pinky's request for \$1,550. Is there discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. It is unanimously carried.

MR. DAVIS: You understand, of course, that the President's Challenge Award will first be presented at the Business Meeting and then will be renamed as the President's Challenge Award and re-presented to Dr. Cooper that night at the banquet.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I know that the old ones do know this but there can be no award made at the NATA Honorary Awards Helms Banquet with any mention of the firm or any commercialism attached to it at all. This has been a standing rule and has not been violated as yet.

For example, Cramer's is the William E. Newell Award but it is not mentioned as such in the award.

MR. GEORGE: Who gets the money?

Does Cooper get the money or the Institution?

MR. DAVIS: Cooper gets the money.

MR. GEORGE: To award to somebody to do some research ?

PRESIDENT GUNN: It is right in here.

It is all in the writing that you have received in connection with it.

Now, is there anything further with regard to the Grants and Scholarships Committee.

If not, the next report is that of the History and Archives Committee.

MR. DAVIS: I have a Xeroxed copy of Mike's thesis that he did on history and archives of NATA. I will pass this around and you can take a look at it if you would care to.

MR. LEE: Is this going to be put up for sale?

MR. DAVIS: It has to be reworked a little bit and some more things added to it but, in all probability, this could be a good possibility.

MR. CROWL: Who is on this Committee.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Mike is. (Laughter)

MR. CROWL: The reason I asked is that Ron Bailey, the Trainer at the University of Nevada, he is not certified as yet but he is also doing a thesis on the history of Athletic Training and I wonder if he could not be of some assistance.

MR. DAVIS: Mike can help him all he wants or all he can.

We started on this thing, as you might remember, when he was at the Air Force Academy and he was fortunate enough to catch a couple of hops and go out to California and see Henry Smith. Henry just took him into his home and it was just the greatest thing that could have happened to this guy. He even confided in him to the point of showing him his cherished pictures, which is something Henry would not let anybody see. He let Mike bring them back to the Academy and reproduce them and then they were returned to him.

Mike was the first person ever to get one of Henry's pictures. I think he did a real fine job on it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: His recommendations are a little bit nebulous -- that NATA should have a published history and development of this organization, a complete or abridged version taken from his study; also a permanent site for the archives should be selected in order that documents of historical significance will be preserved -- some school or university should be selected and, further, a complete study should be

conducted which deals with the contributions of those who have received honors in relation to the Helms Hall of Fame. He also recommends that pictures should be presented with the study and that a study should be done on the complete history of each of the ten districts of the NATA.

Now, that will be a little bit hard because it has fluctuated between nine and ten. He also indicates that pictures should be taken in connection with the Hall of Fame award winners, Board of Directors and Special Events.

Now, Monday night, following the Banquet, I would appreciate it if the present Board would stay for just a few minutes following the banquet for a group picture of the present Board, mainly in the essence of an outgoing Board. I make that request of you. I have already talked with the people concerned with photographs and I think it should become a standard thing because we have not done it in the past and I believe we should.

Now, his request for Board action is to study and complete an abridged version, select the school or university for the archives and then a budget request is needed to publish or print the history of the NATA.

Now to my way of thinking, this is not a concrete request and so I don't see how you can act on it right now. However, I think you can instruct him.

MR. DAVIS: That is the first report he made. He did not really know what to put in it.

Now, I personally think that the thing to do would be to instruct him to go back and search to see what some of these requests would cost. It was recommended to him by his advisor at Kent State to explore the possibility of having a university as a place for the archives -- possibly a university library. You might want to instruct him to look into that a

little further also.

What he is asking for is not a big pressing matter or anything. He can function as he is.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I think he should get instructions of continuation from the Board to pursue this and bring back hard facts, if possible.

Do you want to put a time on it? That may be asking more than he can come up with right now.

In other words, if you put a time on it for your Mid-Winter Meeting, it may be impossible for him to give you anything that you could work with at the time.

MR. LANE: As I understand it, Mike is all by himself in this -- he has no Committee members?

PRESIDENT GUNN: No.

MR. LANE: Going back to our meeting at Chicago, when we were talking about retired members and everything, these people, I think, would be of tremendous help in maybe expanding this committee and having Mike request some help out of them and getting some help. This is something I think we definitely need and I think these would be the logical people to help him with it and see if Mike could not get some people out of this and then I would say a year from now come in with some more concrete proposals.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Why don't you give him a general recommendation and then individually give him suggestions like that rather than having a long list of things that he would have to follow as a follow-up in relation to your thoughts. In other words, perhaps he could investigate the possibility of a retired member in each area and assign him a district, in relation to which then that individual might possibly do a complete history of the District to which he is assigned.

MR. DAVIS: Likewise, we might have a

preliminary report in January.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A progress report?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, a progress report.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Now, does anybody want to compose that in the form of a motion?

Also, he can come back with an emergency appropriation of some sort, if it is necessary, in January.

MR. LANE: Do we want this in the form of a motion or a recommendation?

MR. DAVIS: A motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: He makes a request for action.

MR. GEORGE: He should probably consider the national office as a place to keep the Archives rather than a school.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That needs to be worked out. However, right now, let's give him some direction and/or encouragement. In other words, the Board he^{artily} approves and appreciates the work to date and encourages further study along the line of his request and would then request a progress report in January of 1975 with some definite figures.

Does that make any sense?

Is that enough?

MR. LANE: I would so move.

MR. GEORGE: Instruct the Archives Committee to be more specific in its proposal to the Board; that is, namely, consider a place where the archives would be kept and then also make a recommendation as to the

cost of publishing the archives. Perhaps we could use that as a part of the motion and then, at the beginning of that, preface it with the statement that Bobby made.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Do you have anything in there requesting a progress report by the January meeting?

MR. GEORGE: Request a progress report for the January Board of Director's Meeting.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made by Eddie Lane and seconded as indicated. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. The motion is unanimously carried.

The next report to be considered is that of the Honor Awards Committee.

You have that.

You see the men that were recommended for their respective districts.

All of these have been officially accepted and will be officially recognized on Monday, June 15, at the Honors Banquet.

MR. DAVIS: You will note that the name has been changed again to "Citizens Savings". It was "United Savings" prior to being announced.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes, they are underwriting it now.

Then, likewise, the next list you have has to do with the Twenty-Five-Year Awards. All of those approved are to be honored at the Honors Awards Banquet for their long tenure in the profession. I don't think

it is necessary for me to read all of those names.

Are there any comments while we are on this matter?

.MR. CROWL: I should probably not bring this up here but I talked to Sullivan about this and Bob Hand is recommended for District No. 8 for the Twenty-Five-Year Award. I have a note from Sullivan saying that he had received this.

MR. DAVIS: He is going to get a certificate.

MR. CROWL: Evidently not, especially not when I see this.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Check with George. On May 15th, 1974 is when he got this.

MR. CROWL: I received a letter saying he had received a recommendation. Maybe he did not get all the materials in time.

PRESIDENT GUNN: What is the latest you have on him? You say that you checked with George?

MR. CROWL: No, I have not, but I am going to. It is probably too late now.

PRESIDENT GUNN: With regard to Honorary Membership Awards, we have Walter Byers and Martin DeLaney Jr., and also Harvey O'Phelan. They have been approved.

We now come to the budget request from the Honors and Awards Committee. The total request for 1974-1975 is \$110.

MR. DAVIS: That has to be increased. He has been carrying the cost for the plaque at that one figure for so darn long now that you just cannot buy plaques at nine dollars any more. It was suggested that

we at least make the plaques \$20 each here.

MR. LANE: Do they cost us that much?
I believe we discussed that last June.

MR. DAVIS: No, we did not.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We discussed the things
for Fred.

MR. DAVIS: This was never discussed.

PRESIDENT GUNN: What are your thoughts on
it?

MR. DAVIS: I would say that your cost of
plaques should be made \$20.

PRESIDENT GUNN: \$20 each?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, \$20 each.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That would be \$125.

MR. GEORGE: The new total would then be
\$171. That is the new total.

MR. LEE: Just change the nine dollars to
twenty dollars, is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Change the \$9 to \$20 and
the \$54 to \$125 and then the \$171 is the new total down
at the bottom.

MR. GEORGE: \$176 is actually the new
total. It is \$125, \$19, \$17 and \$15.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That will then be the
budget request, a total of \$176.

MR. LEWELLYN: I would make a motion we

accept the budget for the Honors and Awards Committee in the amount of \$176.

MR. LEE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that the Honors and Awards Committee request the \$176 be accepted for 1974-1975. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising their right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is carried.

MR. CROWL: When are they going to award the Twenty-Five Year Awards?

MR. DAVIS: That will be awarded at the Banquet.

Let's make a point to have it in the minutes that the Twenty-Five-Year-Pin is now being presented to NATA by BIKE-KENDALL and the pin will have a small diamond chip. Now, this will be mentioned again on Monday at the business meeting but it will not be mentioned during the awards.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That was your question, was it not?

MR. CROWL: I wanted to know when it would be presented.

PRESIDENT GUNN: At the banquet and, again, it will follow the custom of not having a commercial firm's name mentioned at the banquet.

MR. SHERIDAN: While we are speaking in relation to these awards, I had submitted the name of Victor Razin again to the Hall of Fame and, of course, he has not been receiving his award and he wrote me sort of a nasty letter accusing NATA of having something against high school trainers. He was one of the founders of the organization and all this sort of thing. Therefore,

I answered the letter and said I had done my part by submitting his name and I also took a copy of the original registration, number 50, duplicated it and sent it to him and said that he had evidently forgotten to put his name down because he was not at the first meeting.

Anyway, he said he was going to be at the business meeting and he was going to make himself known under the subject of new business or something.

Therefore, if he gets up, you will know he is from my District.

PRESIDENT GUNN: This is probably an appropriate time to bring up something that was going to be discussed later.

I think that you as District Director should be pretty well aware of your District's needs, especially its problems and, of course, with the tremendous amount of literature that you have at your disposal, you should also be reasonably well versed on Board discussions and recommendations and decisions with regard to some of these problems.

Consequently, at the National Business Meeting, up to the point of "Old Business", the end of "Old Business", if a District raises a question concerning NATA policy, Board Action, administration -- if it is an administration, then it might be a different thing -- then the District Director of the District will be expected to come to the microphone and answer that question as a representative of the governing body of NATA.

Therefore, if there are any problems that you are aware of, anything that could be brought up by your District and you feel you have not been informed of anything along that line, then it behooves you to go to any source that you can find and inform yourself.

Therefore, at the business meeting if District Number Six, for example, has a question, a gripe or complaint about something concerning, perhaps in

your case, continuing education, then do your homework on the continuing education that we have. Use the materials that are available and then, in turn, be prepared to answer that question as best you can because you are going to be asked by either the old President before he turns over the gavel, or the new President when "New Business" is opened to those in attendance, to answer that question as a member of the governing body of NATA -- not as the President or the Executive Director or something like that.

If it pertains to the Treasurer's Report or something, and you are not satisfied with what you have received or you want more information, then you can get it from Otho. If there is anything that you think I have forgotten or Otho has forgotten then, by all means, those files are open to you.

I can assure you, as you can see by the stack of materials that you have, that there is nothing that I have received that you, in turn, have not received, particularly within the past year or so.

Therefore, are there any comments on that at the present time?

That was going to be brought up.

MR. GEORGE: The best way to handle that would be to be able to defend the way you voted for something right here -- be able to defend the way you voted for it and then you should not have any problems.

MR. MELHART: Is that the thing to do at a National Business Meeting, to defend your vote, or is that to be done at the District Meeting?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, if it is brought up at the National Meeting then it would take place there.

MR. MELHART: Well, I can see what will happen by your defending your vote -- pretty soon you will get into very difficult arguments.

MR. GEORGE: For example, if one of your men get up and they want to know why NATA is backing a certain policy which he may not particularly agree with, similar to Gordie Graham last year at the Business Meeting, then it would be up to you to get up and say "We are backing it because I voted in the affirmative and so did nine others", and then explain to him why the vote was taken that way.

If he feels now, however, that his opinion has changed, then he can tell them.

For example, we do know there is a problem with high school athletic trainers feeling they are being left out of NATA. We hope, in our Tuesday appointments, to change some of this and to appoint more high school athletic trainers to different functions.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Are you talking about "certified"?

MR. GEORGE: They all have to be certified to represent us.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is what I am talking about. Therefore, it involves certified trainers working in high schools.

MR. GEORGE: Correct.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Because I beg to differ with you -- there is a category of high school trainers or college trainers or what-have-you. There are certified trainers, there are associates, etcetera.

MR. GEORGE: However, they can be on a committee and not be certified.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Your employment is incidental.

MR. GEORGE: Yes. However, again, they can be on a committee and not certified.

PRESIDENT GUNN: This is a local thing. In this case it is local because it would not be to my advantage, as the President, to answer that question because we in our area really do not have that problem right now.

MR. SHERIDAN: I answered him already. I told him that the Committee decides and that it is a five out of seven vote of the Committee that decides who receive it, whose name is submitted to the Foundation.

MR. GEORGE: You will have to tell him again if he gets on the floor.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't think it will be a problem. We have a lot of high school trainers inducted into the Hall of Fame.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We have had a number of high school trainers that served on International Committees.

MR. SHERIDAN: I get the same thing from another fellow in my District, who gave us difficulty because, again, he threw this up to Joe. He said that they had been prejudiced against him again at the Helms Foundation and yet this individual had never been to a National Meeting in his life and hadn't been to an Eastern Meeting in eleven years. As a matter of fact, he did not pay dues for 7 years and then wondered why he was not in the Hall of Fame.

MR. GEORGE: You should not have even submitted his name.

MR. SHERIDAN: However, his name was submitted and it was brought up to the membership and the membership said that we should submit his name and so I went by their wishes.

There is another point there also. He does not exercise the authority to rule on whether that name should be sent in or not, a Director.

MR. DAVIS: That is right. He is merely a vehicle for getting it there.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is all and, of course, it is so stated, so that the District Director, all he does is insure himself that the nomination is done properly according to the guidelines.

You, as a Director, have no censorship or voting power or anything with regard to it. The only thing you do is that if it does not follow the guidelines as set down by the Board of Directors of NATA, then you send it back to the person with instructions to do it properly. Once it is done properly, all you do is initial it and send it on to the Helms people.

MR. SHERIDAN: Well, I just thought I would put that out -- that he is going to be here.

While I am talking about that, I have another fellow who may come and who objects to you opening up business meetings with a prayer. You know, I have some dandy boys in my district.

MR. GEORGE: Yes, that is true, this one individual objected to a meeting being opened with a prayer. Of course, we need all the prayers we can get.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there further discussion on this matter?

MR. FALK: I wonder if I can ask a question.

Do these men that are going into the Honorary membership, are they aware of it at this time?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

MR. FALK: I asked this because the District is doing something for one of our individuals and I did not want to get into an awkward situation.

PRESIDENT GUNN: All of them have been

notified in writing. That is why we have to make sure that the deadline is set and followed, in order to give all concerned plenty of time.

MR. FALK: That answers my question. In my case, I merely wanted to avoid an awkward situation and I believe that takes care of it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there anything further?

If not, the next item has to do with the International Games Committee.

We have been informed by the Chairman that the International Games Committee will meet here during the NATA Meeting and, hopefully, we will have their recommendations for the medical and training services of the USOC available to the Board.

MR. MELIN: Their recommendations have to go to Handley?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

The recommendations of the International Games Committee go to the USOC Medical and Training Services Committee on which Dr. Hanley is a member and Chairman, I think.

MR. MELIN: You had not before made them known until after they had considered them.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The NATA International Games Committee, which was formerly the Olympic Nominating Committee, actually narrowed down the field from 51 to the number that will be chosen and so a hundred percent recommendation is given but which does not mean that that will be followed. However, I think our batting average has been around 80 percent and that is pretty terrific, especially considering we don't have anything to say about it.

Also, I heard a question raised not too long

ago about the selection of the head trainers for the various games. That has historically been reserved by the USOC Medical and Training Services Committee as their prerogative. The NATA has absolutely nothing to say in that.

As a matter of fact, no volunteers or anything at least to my knowledge have anything to say about that. Those selections are made by a three-man committee.

Anyway the Medical and Training Services Committee names the head trainers for the three games. The other trainers are named by them, through a process of selective cooperation with the NATA but then I do know that the Committee will meet, Chuck told me that, during the NATA Convention.

Therefore, the process is being worked on but he has nothing to offer right now.

MR. CROWL: We kicked this around at our District Meeting a little bit.

On that basis, let me ask this question -- if someone in the District were to be selected as a head trainer who was not selected by the District, is it unethical to accept that?

PRESIDENT GUNN: No, sir, not as head trainer, because NATA has nothing to do with that. They have never had anything to do with it.

As a matter of fact, Lew, I knew some two years before the games that I had been named or selected or requested by Dr. Hanley as head trainer for the Munich Games.

Now, also in this regard, I purposely did not get on the selected group from District No. 6 because I knew that, frankly, an opportunity would be taken away from one of our District 6 people to be selected. So, actually this is a fairly good point. If a person is selected from a District or is named from a District by the USOC group as head trainer, it might

be something that you would consider that if that person was on the list from your district, that it might be proper or appropriate that you could substitute another name.

Now, I don't know when he names these people. I have heard that one person has been selected or named for the Pan Am Games.

All right now, does anyone know any more than we do about the other games, Winter or Summer?

MR. LANE: No, just the Pan Am Games.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We have to depend on Chuck to get us the information.

There is another factor coming in and that has to do with the breakdown. If they have not been named, these two people, and the Olympic and International Games Committee meets during this Session and narrows it down to --- what is the number?

MR. LANE: Ten and four -- four alternates -- ten and four, four and two, four and two. The figure is 34.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Then, on that basis, there will be no opportunity if one of these people happens to be named as head trainer to substitute another person for consideration. This might, in turn, be something that Chuck can talk to Handley about -- if it is possible for him to make a decision on the head trainers before the NATA International Games Committee meets. It is not probable but it is possible that maybe one district could have two people named as head trainers and, you know, they would have four nominations in the whole district and then those two would be on there and then, in turn that would narrow their opportunity to two.

Therefore, you have a good point. That is something we can ask Chuck to talk to Dr. Handley about.

MR. FLENTJE: You emphasize them as being

selected as head trainers. What if they elect to select someone else, not necessarily as a head trainer but as a trainer?

PRESIDENT GUNN: That, again, is their prerogative.

MR. FLENTJE: That is their prerogative and the person would not be out of line to accept that, is that right?

MR. DAVIS: He would be out of line to accept.

PRESIDENT GUNN: According to your Board, he would be out of line if he was an NATA member and had been or encouraged his name to be submitted.

MR. FLENTJE: If he had encouraged it to be submitted?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: How are you going to prove that he did not?

MR. FLENTJE: On the other hand, how are you going to prove that he did?

PRESIDENT GUNN: At any rate, this is the ruling that the Board made.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let me add that a situation such as you are mentioning has already happened.

For example, a person in my area had been selected for one of the games, and I don't know which one.

MR. GEORGE: And his name is not on the list?

MR. SHERIDAN: No.

MR. GEORGE: So if he isn't sent a letter asking to go, is he in violation of the Code of Ethics? How do you feel?

MR. SHERIDAN: Well, we decided that if he submits his name or encourages his name to be submitted by someone else, he is then in violation.

Well, I can tell you this -- he is a trainer and his head trainer was called and asked if he would be available to go and he said "yes" and so he is getting to go.

MR. GEORGE: The question I ask here is this -- is he actually in violation of the Code?

MR. SHERIDAN: I would say that he is not, at least right now.

MR. GEORGE: Let me ask Craig how he feels about this?

MR. LEWELLYN: The same way.

MR. FALK: My answer would also be "no".

MR. FLENTJE: I don't think he would be. However, it used to be a fine line.

MR. LEE: I think he is okay.

MR. GEORGE: Lew, how do you feel?

MR. CROWL: I feel that if he did not encourage it, it is okay, but then I am likewise sure that the members in his District would be very much upset.

MR. DAVIS: You are darn right.

MR. SMITH: I feel, for example, that if it doesn't sound like he has encouraged himself, then it sounds like he is definitely all right.

MR. SHERIDAN: In this case I mentioned, this particular individual's head trainer told me he was surprised when he told him about it.

MR. MELHART: To my way of thinking, it is all right. We are in a position of recommending and not the ultimate authority in it and so what.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I think that Bill is also right -- that it is such a fine line. Now, if there is a gross violation and it is obvious, then you do have some question. However, you have to go along pretty well with the way things are because we have been quite fortunate, I think, and Dr. Handley has bent over backwards to try to help.

He wants experience but, on the other hand, a number of your District Directors really want a popularity contest.

MR. SHERIDAN: In other words, if Joe goes, then the question arises as to why John didn't get an opportunity to go. Well, as you know, Dr. Handley really wants people who will work and he knows the problems. He has had negative experiences throughout the years and, further, he has a terrific memory and so, in turn, he is doing all he can for us and putting up with a lot of things, the same as you do from your general membership.

MR. FLENTJE: Most of the difficulty I have had thus far is due to the fact that everybody thinks it is a deal, this kind of formality for submitting names, because, in the final analysis, they are going to pick who they want anyway.

MR. GEORGE: Of course, we have been eighty percent effective in this and, further, the distribution has been -- if they would just go back and look at the distribution from the districts -- they will see that it has been pretty darn good.

Have any of you people, to your memory, have any of your districts in the past two Olympics, plus

the winter and/or Pan Am Games, have any of your districts been just completely wiped out in representation -- not a one?

As I understand it, there generally has been somebody from your district who has gone. I know this is true in the case of District No. 6 -- that they have had pretty good representation.

MR. SHERIDAN: You know I have said that if this matter comes up at the business meeting, you should be ready to answer those types of questions.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is certainly true.

MR. SHERIDAN: Well, in our case, my District Secretary is going to bring all the ballots with him tomorrow because we have 178 certified people in my district and only 53 voted. Therefore, they don't have too much to complain about.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is right -- they generally don't, when you get right down to it.

MR. GEORGE: Again, we have an 80-20 percent; that of the people we recommend, 80 percent of them are picked; then, the other 20 percent they do on their own. That is not bad and, further, some of these 20 percent have been NATA members.

PRESIDENT GUNN: In 1967, I saw the lists of the individual applications and that would knock your head off. The fact that our people that went were all NATA members, were they not?

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The only difficulty we had was with the two ladies. Of course, this did not involve a very efficient experience on their part. At that time, of course, we had no women trainers to offer but all the men in the 1967 Pan Am Games were NATA members and there was a broad spectrum from the United

States.

It may get a lot worse than it has been, especially as individual sports insist on representation of trainers that they pick.

MR. GEORGE: I think we have to go back. How about the recommendation of the Drug Education Committee in relation to smoking at the business meeting. (Laughter)

MR. CROWL: Along that line, perhaps we should have both a smoking and non-smoking section.

MR. GEORGE: That certainly is a good idea.

I merely brought that up in order that we vote on something that we actually skip.

Why don't you make a recommendation, Lew, to that effect.

MR. CROWL: There is a request for Board action at the bottom of the page, the bottom of the second page.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I have it marked.

"In the light of growing medical evidence indicating harmful effects of tobacco smoke on non-smokers, and as athletic trainers, we should be aware of good health practices. This Committee recommends that the Board of Directors of NATA consider prohibiting smoking at all NATA meetings."

MR. CROWL: I make a motion that we have a smoking and a non-smoking section.

PRESIDENT GUNN: However, that is not in line with the request. First we had better take care of this request. I may bring up something on alcoholic beverages.

MR. CROWL: I move we deny the request.

PRESIDENT GUNN: He recommends that you consider prohibiting smoking at all NATA meetings. I would like to table this until Tuesday. (Laughter)

MR. GEORGE: I think that Lew has a good idea there.

MR. LANE: I would say that the recommendation is discriminatory.

MR. GEORGE: Again, as I said before, Lew has a good idea there. Perhaps he can make a motion.

MR. CROWL: I believe you said, Mr. President, we have to act on the request and therefore, my action in relation to that request was to make a motion that we turn down the request.

MR. GEORGE: I would hate to have that publicly known. Therefore, just forget it and, Lew, make a motion that we have two separate sections. I would hate to have in the minutes what has been said, that you could smoke.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We have already passed this.

MR. GEORGE: I know. (Laughter)

MR. DAVIS: Table the thing until Tuesday and then at the Tuesday meeting it be recommended there be no smoking at the clinical meetings. Nothing will be said on the floor and it will appear in the minutes in the Journal.

MR. CROWL: How about getting a feeling here. By Tuesday we will have had our District meetings -- get a vote from the Districts?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Let's hear it from District No. 9.

MR. CROWL: How they feel about smoking.

MR. SMITH: Well, they will jump and scream in relation to this one.

MR. DAVIS: It is from one of your members.

MR. SMITH: I noticed that.

MR. FLENTJE: Is this a Committee action or a personal reaction?

MR. DAVIS: He is the Committee.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Who recommended we table it?

I swore I heard somebody recommend it.

MR. LANE: We have already tabled the whole report concerning the Drug Education Committee until later.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Thank you, Eddie.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The next item has to do with the Journal Committee.

MR. DAVIS: He will be in at ten-thirty.

PRESIDENT GUNN: How about the Membership Committee?

MR. MELIN: We are going to have a meeting as soon as we get all our people here and then, if we have anything to add, we will let you know.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The Membership Committee is going to have a meeting as soon as all their people get here. Will you be coming back to the Board?

MR. MELIN: Yes, on Tuesday.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Also, the National

Convention Committee Report is likewise postponed until Tuesday, as Fred has already indicated, at which time he will be coming before you with something more definitive. Bill Chambers will be in with more information. He is doing a terrific job on that thing.

Next we go to the Placement Committee.

They recommend that the Chairman be present at the meeting of the National Federation and National Conference of High School Directors of Athletics. These have to be the two most important meetings of the year for promoting the importance of athletic trainers at the high school level.

They also recommend that they be permitted to print an additional 18,000 Placement Committee brochures. The original printing was 8,000 and, further, that the Chairman should have use of a telephone credit card. At present, charges are made to his home telephone and then he is reimbursed after submitting a statement. With a card issued from the National Office, the Chairman could keep his personal records more accurately and waiting for reimbursement would be eliminated.

Also, by issuing the card from the National Office, its use could be controlled.

Then there is likewise a budget request. One of these concerns telephone expense to cover calls to athletic directors, committee members, etcetera, in the amount of \$250.

Then there is likewise an item of postage in the amount of \$100; travel, \$315 and printing in the amount of \$250.

MR. GEORGE: That printing, isn't that a kind of duplication -- a list in relation to athletic training, the one which the recruiter sends out? Isn't that kind of a duplication of information?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. GEORGE: Does this then concern two different groups of people?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

You also have a letter in your materials there indicating that the Federation meeting is going to be in Louisville, Kentucky, July 2nd to the 6th, 1974. The program has already been completed.

He did talk to their 1972 meeting in Denver, the National Federation of Athletic Directors Meeting and then it is further stated that the Fifth National Conference for High School Directors of Athletics will be held December 8th, 1974 and then he states, "Should you wish to be considered for this program, you should write Jack Roberts of the Federation Staff."

PRESIDENT GUNN: Those essentially, in brief form, are the recommendations of this Committee. Now, do you wish to act on these requests or recommendations one at a time?

The first is a request that the Chairman be present at the Annual Meeting of the National Federation of State High School Associations and the National Conference on High School Directors of Athletics. These are two most important meetings for promoting the appointments of athletic trainers at the high school level. We should obtain booth space in the exhibit area and solicit speaking time at the general session.

Now, at least to my knowledge, there is no provision in his budget request for booth space.

MR. DAVIS: I would say that if he can get a free booth space at the December 1974 meeting at Hershey, Pennsylvania, and permission to appear on their program, that you should grant information to attend.

PRESIDENT GUNN: You have emphasized the "free"?

MR. DAVIS: Free, yes.

PRESIDENT GUNN: We already have or there will be a representative to the National Federation?

MR. DAVIS: There would be to the Athletic Directors' Meeting.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Correct.

MR. GEORGE: As I understand it, you are recommending "no" to the Federation and "yes" to the Athletic Directors?

MR. DAVIS: I would recommend that he be given permission to go to the National Conference of High School Directors of Athletics Meeting at Hershey, Pennsylvania, December 8th through 11th, 1974, provided that he is to appear on the program as a speaker and also granted a free exhibit space.

You know, all he needs for exhibit space is a card table on which to lay some pamphlets and hand them out. That is all he wants.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Do you agree with that recommended solution, request No. 1 or recommendation No. 1?

MR. SHERIDAN: Would that be included in his budget or would it be separate?

MR. DAVIS: Well, wait a minute. He already has it in there.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It is under "Travel" a figure of \$315.

PRESIDENT GUNN: As I understand it, permission to attend the National Conference of High School Directors of Athletics is on the basis that he is asked to appear as a speaker and if free exhibit space is available.

Do you want to modify that or change it or anything like that?

MR. GEORGE: I would make a motion that this Board so approve the statement.

MR. LEE: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The motion has been made and seconded.. Is there further discussior?

All in favor signify by raising their right hand; opposed by like sign. The motion is unanimously carried.

The next recommendation has to do with the printing of an additional 10,000 Placement Committee brochures. The original printing was 8,000. The Printing cost apparently is \$250.

MR. LEWELLYN: I would make a motion we accept the request for an additional 10,000 replacement brochures.

...The motion was duly seconded...

A motion has been made by Craig and seconded by Eugene Smith that an additional 10,000 Placement brochures be printed.

In essence, what you are doing is approving the budget, that aspect of the budget. Is there any discussion of this matter?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. The motion is carried and the request is approved.

Now, the next request is that the Chairman should have use of a telephone credit card. The report indicates that present charges are made to the whole phone and he is then reimbursed after submitting a statement.

Is there discussion of this recommendation?

MR. GEORGE: Do the other committee chairmen have one?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. LANE: Would others welcome one?

MR. LEE: I think this was brought up at the previous meeting of the Education Committee and I believe that this is almost going to become a "must" very soon.

What brought it to our attention was the trouble involved right now and I am sure that when other chairmen come in, they are likewise going to be bringing up this particular matter.

I think that from here on out, at least in my opinion, they should absolutely have one, especially if they have problems making calls.

MR. CROWL: You should see my telephone bill until I had to separate it out. This likewise gets to be a problem.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Perhaps, gentlemen, we should discuss one aspect of this at a time.

This is a request of the Chairman of this particular committee and not the others. I am sure we will get to them eventually. However, let's discuss the request pertaining to this Committee Chairman on its merits, as to whether the Chairman should actually have use of a telephone credit card.

MR. CROWL: Is there any way of controlling that in order to see that it is not abused?

MR. DAVIS: You can do like others do, charge it to their home.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Now, Lindsay is here and perhaps I can ask him a question in relation to this.

Is there any way of you giving us a figure, Lindsay, on the amount of calls that you make merely on a station to station basis from your home as opposed to, let us say, person to person or chargeable calls as credit card calls.

Did that make any sense at all? How many calls are you making to your committee members and to other people on that basis? In other words, do you find that making them on merely a station to station basis as opposed to person to person is a better procedure?

Is that unfair as a question of you?

MR. McLEAN: Well, that is a hard question to answer.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Do you make any station to station calls?

MR. McLEAN: Yes, I do. However, in connection with most of those, I endeavor to charge them to the school because they have that WATS line and you almost automatically have no problem. Of course, with the credit card, again, there is that additional charge.

PRESIDENT GUNN: For example, when you are at home making committee calls at night and you are talking to your committee members, have you found it feasible at all to make station to station calls?

MR. McLEAN: Yes, about half the time I do this at night because it is so much cheaper and then when my telephone bill comes in, I circle those that pertain to committee business and send them to Lafayette so that they have a record of it. However, it sure saves money when you talk on that kind of a basis.

MR. GEORGE: Are you reimbursed for it quickly from Lafayette?

MR. McLEAN: Yes, out of the Certification Funds.

MR. DAVIS: I made a call the other night using the system of direct dialing to the party. They gave us a number in relation to which to get hold of the operator that called previously. It was person to person and I dialed it direct and then, in turn, the operator came in and I told her who I wanted to speak at.

Now, there are cases where, as we know, the rate goes up, especially if you have to get in there on the operations pertaining to an operator relative to forwarding and getting that call for you.

MR. FLENTJE: Who all has credit cards in the association?

MR. DAVIS: I believe there are only two or three of them. Fred Hoover has one. I use mine as little as possible. Of course, I know that all of us have, from time to time, charged this to the general telephone pertaining to our individual institutions.

MR. LEE: I think this problem comes up all the time, where people, for example, are now beginning to run into administrative problems in connection with their individual institutions -- their schools are cracking down on them.

For example, I know one institution that has really had a cut back and therefore, this is going to be much tougher on the individuals involved with that particular institution.

Now, with regard to who has credit cards, I don't say that everybody needs one but I think that in connection with a Chairman such as this, if he definitely needs one, we ought to go along and take care of him.

PRESIDENT GUNN: That is probably a good point.

MR. LANE: Let me ask you this question -- how expensive is it to have a credit card?

MR. DAVIS: I don't know.

PRESIDENT GUNN: As I understand it, on a credit card basis, every call is considered a person to person call.

MR. DAVIS: You have to go through the operator. Do you know, Bruce, how much the increase is?

MR. LANE: I would venture to guess about a hundred percent.

MR. MELIN: It is quite a lot, especially if your person tries to dial at the lower rated hours. However, direct dialing is about half a credit card call and direct dialing at night isn't much different than a WATS line.

MR. DAVIS: It is cheaper on a WATS line. You take so long, however, and the WATS rate then goes up.

MR. MELIN: I would agree with this -- that the WATS line is not cheap, especially when you talk very long.

Now, I hate to bring this up but you are Chairman of a Committee, Lindsay, and I presume you do run into a problem in connection with your institution?

MR. McLEAN: I certainly do.

On the other hand, there are advantages to having a credit card. I have a personal credit card. It does not cost you anything to get a personal credit card and I use that and sometimes it will help me out by, for example, enabling me to monitor telephone calls because when you get your bill, there is a separate list of each call.

However, it is hard for me to compare my

problem with others because I have a separate budget or a separate income.

Therefore, I don't know how to advise you on that except, of course, I can see the problem here, especially at North Dakota, for example, where I understand that things are getting tight.

MR. MELIN: As I understand it, you cannot direct dial from a university or anyplace where you have a switchboard?

...Cries of "yes you can"...

MR. MELIN: I don't think, however, most places would let you do that. I know that I cannot, for example, direct dial from my office telephone.

MR. DAVIS: It depends on the way it is set up.

MR. MELIN: Well, in my case, they will not let us direct dial.

MR. MELHART: In our case, they do tell us not to do it but we can do it.

MR. CROWL: If a credit card is that much more expensive, why don't he make the call from home and do like Lindsay does and circle it?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Sometimes his problems are, for example, that he cannot wait until evening or something like that. He can charge it to his home.

MR. DAVIS: If I am at home and I call somebody pertaining to the Eagles, then I can charge it back to the office if I give them the number, then they charge this to the office.

MR. CROWL: Is there a charge for that? I don't think, in that case, it would be direct dialing, would it?

MR. DAVIS: You direct dial and then you give your operation to the operator. It is the same thing as dialing the zero figure first and then the total number.

When the operator comes in, you tell her to charge this to phone number so and so.

MR. GEORGE: In some places you can do this and in others you cannot. I think it depends upon the system to which you are hooked.

MR. DAVIS: Well, we can do it anywhere we go.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Of course, another factor we have to consider in relation to this request is, for example, if it is fair for one, then it is fair for all.

MR. CROWL: As I understand it, when you use a credit card, you get an itemized list of expenses when you get your bill.

MR. LANE: That can be gotten anytime. You can ask for both time and charges.

MR. CROWL: Well, if we were to allow \$250 for telephone calls, let us say, then it would be up to him, whether he had a credit card or not, to stay within that particular allotment.

MR. LEE: That is a hypothetical figure and, of course, you can go anyway on that.

If the Board says, however, he can spend \$250, then he cannot spend beyond that.

MR. MELHART: With regard to this credit card business, can he not obtain a credit card if he so desires?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, Lindsay, I believe, indicated that he had his own. This would give him a

method of control.

MR. SMITH: It would certainly help in relation to the accounting system.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes, he would have an accounting done by the telephone company of his calls.

MR. GEORGE: In other words, send the expenses, not to exceed \$250 a year, to Ms. Franklin. That certainly sounds reasonable.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Then that does not establish a precedent on the part of the Board in relation to all chairmen.

MR. MELHART: Then, as I understand it, what we should do is to approve this amount of money for him?'

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, first of all, you have the request. In other words, you have to disapprove or approve of your request and then you can go ahead and approve the budget.

MR. CROWL: I would like to move that we turn down this particular request but recommend he get his own credit card.

MR. SHERIDAN: I would second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that the request for a credit card be denied, the card to be furnished by NATA.

MR. GEORGE: Just a moment, why don't we vote on what we have before us and then I can make another motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I believe we were about ready to do that.

The motion before us is to deny the request for a credit card.

All in favor of the motion to the effect that the request be denied for an NATA credit card signify by raising their right hand; opposed by like sign. The request is denied.

Now, gentlemen, you come to your budget request and here you can total it or you can take it individually.

Is there any objection to any portion of the budget request? It amounts to \$915. The budget request is \$915. Is there any objection to any part of it?

MR. SHERIDAN: I would make a motion we approve the \$915 budget request.

MR. SMITH: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The motion has been made and seconded that we approve the total budget request of \$915. Is there discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by raising their right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is unanimously carried.

MR. GEORGE: I have the Board recommendation:

The Board recommends that the Placement Committee Chairman should obtain a personal credit card; NATA charges on this card may be turned in to the National Office for reimbursement not to exceed \$250 per year.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Did everybody hear that?

MR. FLENTJE: What good is that going to do?

PRESIDENT GUNN: You have already approved a limit here.

MR. FLENTJE: Yes, you have already approved

a limit and, therefore, all he has to do is to make a log of the telephone calls.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Why don't we personally do that. That will keep it out of the record, because you have already taken your action insofar as the request is concerned. In other words why don't Otho talk to him?

MR. GEORGE: Very fine.

MR. FALK: On this matter of -- and I cannot read the printing, but how many positions has he filled?

MR. DAVIS: Sixty-eight.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Lindsay is here. Therefore, let's go back to item number 2 on your agenda, which is the report of the Certification Committee.

We will therefore, at this point, ask Lindsay to present the report of the Certification Committee.

MR. McLEAN: First of all, let me say to you that this supplemental material that I am passing out is the result of a meeting of last week. This may be a fairly significant matter but, on the other hand, I thought it would be good to present this as an addition to my report.

Now, Bobby, I don't know how much of this report you want me to go into. Its activities and accomplishments are pretty well self-explanatory from that standpoint and perhaps, if I go into it again, I will merely be reading it to you.

I have on page 2, regarding the written examination we are going to start a few new items to update our examination and fill the bank with new questions to draw from. Joe Altod is preparing to do this starting this summer. He has been involved with this right from the start when at Columbia and I hope he has enough time to do it in connection with his

present position.

However, I did make some comments here to indicate that it is going to cost money to update the examination and we have to plan for from \$1500 to \$2,000 during 1975 to do that, just based on the cost we had at our meeting with the PES last summer in anticipated replacement of 20 percent of the items each two years, which they recommend. That is going to be a continuing cost to us and it is going to be a very necessary cost if we maintain a secure examination.

On that basis, there has to be change, updating and things of this nature. We are presently going to have another item analysis.

The way things are going, in the winter of this coming year, they would like to do it after 100 to 150 examinations and already, since our last item has been announced this last summer, we will have had 80 prior to this January and we will have at least 100 this weekend. Therefore, we will have at least 180 before the end of this convention and next January I am sure we will have upwards of 60 and so we will have 200 new examination questions and results to form another good concrete item analysis.

We have in our revised contract the suggestion that we have a semi-annual meeting which would be coming up next year. So we will not have enough questions in our bank to be able to update it at this time.

This is why Joe is going to be soliciting these items.

With regard to the comments under item No. 3, Bob Burkhardt has been requested to serve as the Athletic Training Consultant. Those I will just delete because he just has now left the New York area. He has moved to Houston with the World Football League and is no longer at Columbia, which would have been very convenient since their office is right across the street.

I don't know what we will do insofar as a consultant is concerned.

We are trying to get Ed Phillips who lives 80 miles from there, to drive down. However, it is a little difficult. At present we don't have anybody in the Manhattan area that we can recommend.

Dick Malcrea would be a pretty good man to do that. He is a little closer to Ed but I have not talked to him about it. However, insofar as that is concerned, I have asked Burkhardt to disregard my request since he left New York.

Is there anything in my report under "Old Business" that anybody wants to ask me before I get to the new business and request for action that I have?

MR. GEORGE: Regarding the rate of failure in our examinations, how does it stand in relation to other professional organizations. What does the PDS think about it?

MR. McLEAN: That was in our last report -- about 15 percent -- 12 to 15 percent. It is a little higher. As a matter of fact, I think we made that comment last January -- that it is a little higher than that of most similar organizations. However, I would think you would expect that inasmuch as we do not have standardized, active curriculums and it is fairly consistent. I think we are getting good results and when we talked to the PES about these, they were not alarmed.

One thing that bothers me is the possibility of failures.

In our re-examinations, for the first three years, we were having failures, partial failures. We were sending a section of the examination to be re-administered to a local proctor in certain cases in order to save the individual the trouble of coming to the convention or coming to a regional site to retake

that section.

After a minimum of six months, we were having some 15 or 20 candidates who had to retake them, or something like this, per year.

In following this procedure, we have come to the realization, for example, that it is presenting 15 or 20 more opportunities for someone to copy the examination and thus possibly involve a future security problem.

In relation to our Committee meeting, we are going to talk about that tomorrow but I think we are going to call a halt to that procedure of letting local proctors handle the re-examination and require candidates to take the examination at a regular site.

We cannot afford to risk someone xeroxing our materials and when you send it to a local testing service, you are pretty safe, but, sending it to a certified trainer who may not have the respect for investment that others would have, it becomes a risky factor. Therefore, we are presently in the process of trying to tighten it up from that standpoint.

With regard to our failures, they have been very consistent. We have found that on a re-examination, say one where they have been re-examined by one person or one team of examiners, when they have been re-examined, a completely different team has scored fairly closer and I think we are fairly reliable. I think our failures are fairly justified and I believe the examination is doing what we intended to do.

You have an attachment on your report indicating comparative results and that is just something to look over at your convenience. This is attachment A.

Hopefully, very shortly, we will also be able to compare curriculums -- how students do in one curriculum versus another, and be able to give the information back to the curriculum so that they, in

in turn, can tighten up in relation to the academic areas where the need exists. That is really the objective of this.

With regard to new business that I have proposed, there are a couple of important questions.

For example, under number one, under "New Business", I am requesting that the Board of Certification be permitted to evaluate certain individuals who, for one reason or another, were not grandfathered into certification in 1970 but who would have been eligible for that certification at that time, had they been NATA members.

The reason for this request is that over the past several years we have gotten inquiries from a limited number of people who have been athletic trainers for over ten years, at least prior to the time of our certification procedure being adopted and they do not meet the criteria for certification as new candidates.

For example, attached to the report is some information on a Bill Cooper, who completed two years of college at Georgia, has been an athletic trainer as his only profession continuously since 1958 and has requested that he be permitted to take the certification examination. He does not possess a college degree.

We do not have the authority -- the Committee on Certification does not have authority to evaluate this type of individual at this time and I am requesting this authority after consultation with my committee at length and, in this particular individual case, verify his recommendations from his team physician, another certified athletic trainer or other people who have supported his case.

I have attached some materials, as you can see, and he has a very real reason for not being a member of the Association at the time. It was mainly a financial thing, which some of us, I think, would question. However, the way he presented his case, I

feel there is a very just exception, especially in the case where an individual has likewise requested that he be given an opportunity to show that he is capable of being certified by taking the certification examination. He is not asking for an opening of the grandfather clause and for us to certify him -- he is merely requesting an opportunity to take the examination.

When we received this information, I, in turn, sent it to my committee and, in particular, I was interested in how our team physicians who are on the Board felt about it and, in addition to the members of my committee, the two Board members who are physicians felt that this individual should be given an opportunity to take the examination and, therefore, not to do so, not to have a method of responding to his inquiry, we felt, would possibly leave us open to legal action in certain isolated cases which we could avoid by keeping the door open for these few individuals who are in a similar situation.

For example, Dick Melhart has a case in his district now along this line. I personally know very little of the facts in relation to it. Then, likewise, there is an individual at the Coast Guard Academy who has a similar case.

Our feeling was that if an individual wasn't an athletic trainer, actively engaged in the profession at the time that we enacted our grandfather deadline, he has a minimal amount of education, equivalent to what our associate members possess, and he has shown mitigating circumstances, and there would have to be a mitigating circumstance as to why he was not a member of the association at the time, why he was not automatically grandfathered in -- we felt that based on his recommendations, and his qualifications, that if he were capable of having a reasonable opportunity of passing the examination, then we should, as a Committee, be able to recommend that these people be allowed to take the examination basically under the grandfather clause.

Now, in this connection, I know that there

also has always been the question as to whether or not we would be "opening the door" so to speak, a "Pandora's Box" so to speak to allow this. We felt, however, this was something we could control but, further, not to recognize an individual request like that of Cooper, I think, would be unfair to him because I know that in certain districts everybody on the books was automatically granted certification if they were an active member in 1969 and, further, from looking over this particular individual's file and his recommendations, I have to believe he is a lot better trainer than some of the people that we call "certified" right now and all he desires to do is to take the examination in order to prove it and this is something these other people have never been required to do.

Therefore, this, in general, involves the background for my request and it is at the top of the third page there -- it is requested that the Board of Certification be permitted to give special consideration to qualifying grandfather athletic trainers, allowing them to take the examination provided a three-fourths majority of the Board feels they should be granted this consideration and provided, also, they met all the requirements as previously outlined and which I have outlined in the first paragraph under number 1.

MR. GEORGE: What if we put additional language in there to the effect "also obtains approval of the Board of Directors"?

Would your committee object to that?

In other words, you would recommend to the Board of Directors and the Board, in turn, would either approve or disapprove.

MR. MCLEAN: Well, I don't object to that except for you to have as much of an opportunity to evaluate his case as our Committee had, you would have to have the same information we had photocopied and forwarded to you and you would have likewise have had to have the opportunity to study it in the same length of time.

To my way of thinking, it is just a question of whether you feel that you need this additional check rein.

I would think that you have enough regular agenda materials that you should not have to duplicate the work of this Committee.

PRESIDENT GUNN: As I understand it, you are talking about a large number of people, is that true?

MR. McLEAN: As a matter of fact, I am talking about a very small number of people.

MR. GEORGE: As I understand it, right now we have three people in this category.

On the other hand, would you object if we took the word "grandfather" out of there because I would hate to have people think we are re-opening that?

MR. McLEAN: This is something I don't think we really need to have. I would not object to that at all -- just describe being the particular individual.

MR. GEORGE: Not even saying "grandfather" because someone may see that in there, go back to their district and say, "look, we can get them all in now." Do you see what I mean?

MR. McLEAN: Also, I do not feel that this type of a thing should necessarily get out of the Board from the standpoint of publicity.

MR. SHERIDAN: The only question I have, and I presume this will come up in relation to people in my district, they have written -- "Why can't I be certified under the grandfather clause, I have been in the training profession since 1949."

Now if this guy has been in the profession since 1956 or 1957, as he says, then for that length of time why did he not worry about the NATA or even join when dues were very low?

This is the question that I always raise -- where were these people before.

For example, in 1966, he was head trainer at Cincinnati.

MR. McLEAN: He was a member of the association at that time. He had financial problems, which he described in his covering letter, I believe.

MR. GEORGE: Does he have to pay back dues?

MR. McLEAN: He indicates that he is willing to do so.

MR. DAVIS: What about the other one now? There are other cases. Would you also require them to pay back dues?

MR. McLEAN: I think this is something that should be consistent with the policy of the Membership Committee and, at present, this is the only individual that we have and, basically, we feel justified in recommending him at this time. With regard to the other ones, we really have not finalized our discussion on them.

MR. SHERIDAN: Did I understand you to say that he was a member of the association?

MR. McLEAN: Yes.

MR. SHERIDAN: You don't have your records with you, do you, Bruce in relation to being Membership Committee Chairman?

MR. MELIN: We would not have the records there.

MR. SHERIDAN: However, you have, as I understand it, a large group of people that belonged back in 1954?

MR. MELIN: Well, let me say, first of all, that we always go by National Office records now because they are official.

Now, the membership committee has, in general adhered to establish policy but we did make a few exceptions in 1971. At that time, however, it was decided there was no reason to change that policy.

The policy now, of course, is that if a person is certified, then he is eligible for certified membership.

MR. McLEAN: My personal feeling is that an individual like this may not be able to pass the certification examination and if he does not pass it the first time, that would be the end of it. We would not even give him an opportunity to try to retake it. I don't know whether this person could pass the examination or not with his particular academic background. I question it but denying him the right to take the examination is, to him, a serious denial.

His feeling is that had he known that by not being a member at the time he was out of the Association -- that had he been informed that by not being a member that he would be denied any future opportunity to be certified -- I am sure he would have found a way to join at that time.

MR. FLENTJE: It comes to the point here, at least to my way of thinking, whether it becomes a right or a privilege to take the examination.

MR. McLEAN: Well, we are talking about people who are active in the profession and were active in the profession prior to the grandfathering, who are involved in mitigating circumstances and this is the thing, as I said before, that our Committee had to decide upon -- in other words, whether we could sit down together,

discuss the matter and make a reasonable judgment as to whether this was, in reality, a right or privilege. And, that we would scrutinize this very closely. However, as of the moment, we do not have that particular authorization and I can see very good reasons as to why people would object to giving any authorization. However, I am just saying that I think this is something that the Committee itself can determine, if we feel that the individual is willing to pay his back dues and he feels that strongly about it -- then, under those circumstances, we should look at it.

MR. CROWL: How long would it take him before he would be certified under the "actively engaged".

MR. McLEAN: He could not be because he does not possess a college degree and that is the thing -- that this is the type of person that we are talking about.

MR. CROWL: He can never be certified otherwise?

MR. McLEAN: That is right.

MR. LANE: Have you seen his transcript after a few years over at Oregon?

MR. McLEAN: I have not seen it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Okay, do you want to go on with recommendation number two?

MR. McLEAN: You have to take an action on that after I leave and after you discuss it but I do recognize that it is a very sticky question.

The next item is a policy that I am just presenting here in relation to future examinations. We cannot handle one hundred-plus candidates at a National Convention. It is just physically too taxing in relation to our Committee activities, especially in relation to

finding examiners and getting the rooms set up.

Therefore, I would like to be able to limit this to 50 per National Convention and increase the number of Regional Examinations sites potentially three times a year if deemed necessary.

Right now we are automatically giving it in January and June in connection with the National Convention. Last Spring, for example, we gave it at Tucson, Arizona in March and it was a very successful venture from the standpoint of the fact that we had a lot of people who wanted to take it at that time. We had 20 candidates out in the middle of the dessert and we have talked to some other people and some of the curriculums would like to give it in March and potentially we could give it in August if necessary.

However, on the other hand, if we limit the number of people that we examine in June in connection with our National Convention, then we are going to have to greatly increase the number of regional sites and in order to do that, I would like to have the option of setting up a number of sites in March, a number in January and, if necessary, in August, so that the Examination can be given four times a year to be able to cover all candidates.

If they were written examinations, you know, we would have no problem taking care of 200 individuals but the big problem here is the practical sessions and that is what is going to kill us this next weekend. I merely want to recommend that as a policy and if you have any objection, kindly let me know.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Do you figure (and perhaps this is jumping a little bit ahead here) that this is what your proposed increase fee would cover?

You are going to be giving more services and it is going to cost more. Do you think that would cover it adequately for \$10 per candidate?

This is so obvious here -- how you can do

it.

MR. McLEAN: If we do increase the fee, I think it would come a lot closer to it.

You know, it is more expensive to give them regionally than at one big site.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Of course, it is more practical. However, do you think this would take up a good bit of the slack?

MR. McLEAN: I think it would.

In relation to the examination fee action I requested here, we are raising the examination fee to \$40 effective right now and I am also requesting that effective July of 1975, it be raised to \$50 in order to increase the revenue that we are taking in.

We have not seemed to run into any problem with the candidates coming up with the money to take the examination and we have, all the way along the line, felt this should be a self-sustaining and supporting program and to revise the examination like we have to do it and also the data, we can use the extra money.

At our convention, in relation to our expenses here, we will have approximately \$900 in our account because we have, as you know, had our fees raised to \$25 by PES during the past January and they have an option in their contract of increasing the fees. These are subject to review every other year but anticipating, in two years, that they may cost raise this to \$30, rather than come to you two years from now and say "Let us increase it", I am just anticipating that this requested increase would cover us for the next few years.

Also, \$50 is a fairly round figure that people can accept.

If this is not done, then I am going to have to come in and ask for money more often than I am doing right now because it just costs something to build

this examination back up and to run our Committee.

As you know, there is a cost involved in getting the Examination Committee to a meeting two days prior to the Convention and during the Mid-Year, we have no cost of that nature. It has now gotten to the point where I feel that we need to have a nice long session to re-evaluate what we are doing to kind of catch up and I have requested that you provide in the budget for us to have a certification committee meeting in January at Chicago to do this.

I want to be able to afford to do as much as we can from the income revenue generated and that is the reason I am requesting the increased fee.

That would again not take place until a year from this July.

MR. GEORGE: Why not now?

MR. McLEAN: Because we are just now going up to a \$40 fee.

MR. SMITH: You have reference beginning on July 1 of this year?

MR. McLEAN: Correct.

Everybody, up to this point, has paid \$35 and in relation to the people paying the \$35 and taking the examination here, \$25 of that is going for the examination booklets and we also have quite a few other costs here with regard to administration that are going to have to come from revenues that we generate over those two or three years, which will be built up and, therefore, I hope we do increase this to \$50 in another year because it would then again build up to the point where we would not have to come in every other year.

MR. GEORGE: Would you rather have \$50 right now?

MR. McLEAN: No, I would rather go for a year for \$40. I would rather have the brochures printed up for the \$40 and give us time to get the brochures updated during the course of another year.

Also, I requested some new committee members and these are self-explanatory.

In addition to reappointments of people on our Committee that I have requested, there is one committee member I have not requested be reappointed.

I am requesting that Mike Wiley of the University of Michigan and Joe Gieck of the University of Virginia be appointed to the Certification Committee.

We have been discussing this problem and there is a feeling we are going to need more people on our Committee and we have a sort of open end in relation to the amount of people that we can have.

We are likewise going to talk about this tomorrow.

I don't know right now, for example, whether we need a female member. I know of one or two real good ones and some other people that are representatives from other areas of athletic training who can be of help to us.

I may have one or two more names to present to you after our discussion at the second Board Meeting for your approval.

The work has gotten to be quite beyond what we can do and some of our Committee members would just not be able to put a lot into it, such as James Dodson being Executive Director of District No. 6. He contributes a lot but he needs more help.

Therefore, I am asking you to approve the people I have requested here and perhaps I will also have some additional names for you later.

I have likewise passed out a memorandum dated June 3rd requesting what I feel is a very minor action but it is an action that has to be approved by the Board of Directors.

I have reference to the Berkshire Meeting. Has anybody brought that up?

Has that been discussed as yet?

PRESIDENT GUNN: No, it is further down the line.

MR. GEORGE: I was going to ask you to make any comments you might have regarding certification on it now and then I will explain the whole program later.

MR. MCLEAN: Well, you might want to wait until after that has been brought up later to vote on this but the Berkshire meeting was good for our Committee. As a matter of fact, I had present almost my entire Committee, including Dr. Bailey from Stanford, and we had a good two days looking over what we were doing.

The Education Committee, at that time, was asked to approve our work on a program of educating athletic trainers at the high school level, not necessarily for NATA approval, but to develop a program which would be useful to the State of Massachusetts and I felt it was a very good meeting and thought a good deal was accomplished because they had a lot of interchange of ideas from people involved in education, certification and recruitment.

The one thing that we looked at from the standpoint of certification was Section 5 -- the Enforcement Section of the Procedure to Certification.

It has been almost a door mat or dominant thing in our procedures. It was originally put in way back when the program was implemented in 1970 as an incentive for hospitals to have people actively working toward certification who are on their staff -- to endorse those people as high school trainers, and

every three or four months I get a request for endorsement but not very often.

Now, we do have a certificate which I would like to prepare and send out but they have been paying \$15 and have been endorsed for a period of five years as high school athletic trainers.

This has meant a lot but then at the Berkshire meeting, this gave our Committee a sort of new slant on it -- that perhaps this would be of value in encouraging people at the high school level to work towards certification.

Now, if the education program that the Education Committee proposed were adopted by changing the wording in the Section as I have proposed, we would then automatically be able to recognize them in that section without any changes. It sort of, likewise, gives us a use for this particular section which previously we wanted to get rid of.

If you don't accept the Education Committee's proposals or if they don't want to make this an NATA function, it will not make any difference anyway. All I am asking for is special consideration and that is adding, in addition to any members who pass the athletic training course or presents evidence of successful completion of an NATA approved workshop for credit, I have added "or is showing satisfactory progress in an NATA approved curriculum".

This would be another means for endorsing an individual and I think this would be a far more useful endorsement than just someone who has taken an athletic training course in college and passed it or has taken a workshop for credit, because most workshops for credit are nothing more than paying \$50 to \$60 for tuition.

Now, on the other hand, what the Education Committee was working on was a curriculum to set-up in Massachusetts which could be used in other areas, in relation to which they could train these high school

trainers during the summer and after a period of five years they would be ready for certification. We wanted to have some means of endorsing them as a second year school athletic trainer and, therefore, just be adding this additional wording under Section 5, we would be able to do it.

If the program ever got off the ground, we would still have a little bit more flexibility in relation to the endorsement procedures and, further, it would not hurt anything to have it in there -- it would strengthen it rather than weakening it.

Therefore, that is why I have that in there.

MR. GEORGE: Wasn't the big thing that we did there that we took out athletics as a minor in PE and/or Health Education so that we could include history, etcetera?

MR. McLEAN: That is true. That is the big thing we did take out, correct.

MR. GEORGE: You would take out that he has to be a Health and PE Major, and that now we include, for example, a history teacher, a math teacher and anybody else, as long as they have their teaching certificate -- is that true?

MR. McLEAN: Correct.

Of course, for obvious reasons, we would take out the PE minors. Most of the high schools are crying for trainers but they do not have any place for a PE minor on their staff right now.

MR. GEORGE: Or a major?

MR. McLEAN: Correct.

MR. FLENTJE: When you are talking about credit, do you mean college or continuing education?

MR. McLEAN: Well, that has been in there. This is college credit. In other words, equivalent to an undergraduate course in athletic training.

As I say, that is already in there anyway. We are just trying to add something else .

Is there anything else you need me to speak on before I leave you?

MR. GEORGE: One thing and that is AAHPER they are developing a new athletic training council. This is something we are going to discuss later on in the Board meeting.

The original recommendation was for two people from our Education Committee to be on that.

We are thinking about one from the Education Committee and another at-large member who is an NATA member, but we are going to always appoint someone from the Certification Committee. I wanted you to be aware of that.

Right now, for example, we are thinking of Jim Dodson but we will talk to you more about that in the future.

We want certification people to be definitely on there rather than two education people.

MR. LANE: That certainly is a good idea.

MR. FLENTJE: How many trainers, certified trainers, are involved in the certification examination here?

MR. GEORGE: How many do you have signed up on Ed Billings sheet? Is it 30 or more?

MR. McLEAN: You don't mean in this room? You mean at the Convention?

MR. FLENTJE: In other words, how many

people are you going to have helping you give the certification examination in toto?

MR. McLEAN: How many are we going to have?

MR. FLENTJE: Yes.

MR. McLEAN: Well, I would have to look that up but before I would say we at least have 30 or 35 who indicated a desire to help.

MR. GEORGE: I had a list made up, a chart made up of the times, on Saturday and Sunday, that trainers have been asked to sign up for and I think we have around 30 there.

MR. FLENTJE: Is that list going to be posted?

MR. GEORGE: It will be enough because we have some fellows willing to work but then, if we have shortages at certain times, of course, we can always use more people.

MR. McLEAN: I understand, for example, that you are not going to meet on Sunday morning and so we could use you if we do not have a sufficient number.

I might also add that we received a goodly response out of that mail request. We have a total of eight models from one city and three from another. Therefore, we will have eleven student models which we are paying \$2.25 an hour for to be used in connection with the examination.

Likewise, we have five examining rooms that will be going at one time or concurrently with the oral examination, starting tomorrow night at eight o'clock and then again at seven o'clock on Sunday morning.

MR. FLENTJE: What happens if you go to three different regional sites -- are you going to have

a manpower problem there?

MR. McLEAN: Moreso than we would have here because we are going to have to bring in people.

A good example of this is at Tucson, Arizona, which is pretty well isolated. They had 25 candidates, which is not too difficult to handle, especially if you get two or three outside people to come in and work with the head trainer, the head trainer who may be in that particular area, because then, for eight hours, four certified trainers can handle sixteen people and they have had about six people working together, altogether down there and once every three or four months, on a day off, like Sunday, we have found they are willing to do it. It is good experience and we have had no problem getting them together.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Thank you very much, Lindsay.

Now, Rod, while you are here, would you like to go ahead with your report?

This is the report of the Journal Committee. Rod's report is not in the book. He is fixing to pass it out to you now.

MR. COMPTON: With regard to the report of the Journal Committee, I want to try to keep this really abbreviated, keep it simple so that we can get through this thing as simply as possible.

I know you fellows have been through quite a lot.

Just talking on the report, obviously, there were four issues that were published and sent out to the membership on time as compared to in the past and those four issues did include more color work in relation to the design of the Journal.

Now, I would like for you to turn at this

time to the budget report for this past year.

If you will notice, in relation to the revenue, it is broken down in two ways, namely in advertisements and paid subscriptions. That is a total of \$25,254.02.

Now, there is one thing that is important to realize in connection with this figure and that is obviously, if you look down and see the total of what the expenses were we did not bring in as much as we should have.

Further, this figure of "revenue" does not include any fees from the membership dues at all.

Also, in relation to "expenses", that is total expenses from June to June. That includes, for example, \$1,000 paid out for paper that will be used for the September and December issues. I went ahead and ordered a year's worth of paper, which included March and this past issue because paper is jumping by leaps and bounds.

Also, printing in connection with the entire program is also going to cost between 25 and 27 percent increase this year. Therefore, I went ahead and ended up saving some money, especially in relation to paper costs. I did this by buying some of this paper earlier than usual.

\$1,000 should be on future costs because it will be used in September and December.

Also, \$542.50 was paid out for a design, and set-up for future issues. These, for example, are the Watch ads that you saw there, the ad for subscriptions in connection with your libraries or for people who want to subscribe.

Then we have a few others that are already set up which will be in the September and December

issues in relation to watches, lapel pins and that type of thing. Therefore, these are included in our expenses and those have already been paid for and will be used in the future.

Therefore, actually, if we were to receive credit for that, we would end up actually making \$1,007.08 in profits. That is not including any funds from the membership, the dues.

Going on with the report, I also felt that the amount of advertising, considering the potential number of advertisers that we do have -- well how many exhibitors do we have this year?

MR. DAVIS: About eighty.

PRESIDENT GUNN: They are paying for over 100 spaces.

MR. COMPTON: Well, considering the number, we have not touched such companies as drug companies and things like this. We have not even phased, if you will, the market for advertisers and, actually, we should be getting a lot more revenue for advertising than we are.

We feel that this is one avenue we should take to try to bring more money into advertisements for the Journal.

This will also allow us to increase the size of the Journal and bring in more articles and thus let it pay for itself.

I will talk about this later when we talk about recommendations in regard to each of these areas.

The six-dollar subscription fee no longer pays for the cost of Journal printing, mailing and handling any more. This is not adequate enough for it.

It won't take much more to cover it but, in connection with the students, we are almost giving them to these

students free. The fee does not cover the amount in relation to cost of the Journal.

Also, and this is very important, the current rate sheets for advertisers is not consistent with the cost of printing. When we took over the Journal this likewise was not the case but at that time, I had not been experienced enough to be sure of it. However, after looking at it after a year's time, we even found we lose money if, for example, we only have one full color ad, only one person decides to go with one page full color ad in the Journal.. On this basis we lose some \$35 to \$30 because the fee we are requesting is not enough to cover the cost of even printing it.

Fortunately, we have had a case where normally we have had two or more colored ads running at the same time and this allows us to put it on a situation where we run about eight pages and thus we are making money that way. However, if we just have one color ad, then we might be in trouble. We should break even on the first ad and make money on the additional ones.

We likewise started a survey program and, in relation to the first one, we covered the Journal, various aspects of the Journal, and found out that, first of all, that few team physicians and libraries subscribe to the Journal. Secondly, the membership does read and does respond to advertisements, which is very important in relation to our approach to our advertisers.

Also, the membership business books are purchased mainly through the Journal. As a matter of fact, over fifty percent of the responses that we have received indicate they purchased a book due to reading of the book reviews as contained in the Journal.

Also, the membership did not see any deletions or additions that were necessary in the Journal as it is at the present time.

Now, with regard to the proposed budget,

I would like to have you look at that right now. This is the budget that is recommended at this time, under present conditions for next year for the Journal.

Now, this is not really asking money from the NATA as such because we would hope that our advertising would at least pay for and hopefully show a profit from the advertisements to pay for it.

With regard to the recommendations, first of all, there was an indication in a few of our survey answers returned to us that they want to see more issues of the Athletic Training. However, I really feel that if you are going to try to continue to have it, that you have an individual in charge of it.

Further, it is almost impossible to go to more than four issues and do a good job.

It is almost a full-time job to get a basic four issues.

Another recommendation is to obtain the services of an agency to handle the advertising for athletic training -- a subsidiary of the printing company that handles our Journal. Now, Eastern Associates can offer their service and has offered the services to increase the amount of advertising we have. We have a representative of Eastern Associates at this Convention. He is available if you have any questions as to what it is all about.

The third recommendation is to increase the subscription rate to \$7 per year and this is merely to help cover the costs of printing, handling and mailing of the Journal.

This is for the paid subscriptions.

The next recommendation is to update the rate sheet for advertisers so that figures are in line with the costs of printing. I will also show that to you in a moment.

Next is to create some means to get the Journal to team physicians and libraries and I am open to suggestions here on how to approach this. If anybody has any suggestions I would be more than happy to hear them.

Next, I would hope you would approve the proposed budget.

Now, the requests for Board action involve the recommendations I just made to you.

With regard to justifications, first of all, the handling of our advertising by Eastern Associates can greatly help our Journal and the NATA. It is not a profit-motivated gesture on their part. Perhaps I should pass out their proposal to you.

...Whereupon, the above mentioned proposal was circulated among the Board members...

This can greatly increase our income to the Journal and actually does not cost anything in relation to the NATA because it is based on a created increase in revenue through advertisements, if they do not have an increase in revenue from advertisements, they will not get any commission because it is based on a percentage figure.

This proposal indicates, for example, that they will manage the sales of advertising for the NATA Journal. You can see what they have in their proposal there. Actually, it will not cost NATA anything. They get their money out of the increase.

Now, right along with this, I turned over our present rate sheets to Dale Brooks and one of the fellows down at National Printing and asked them what they felt were justifiable prices. They went by National averages for a book of our nature and everything and we came up with these prices.

We feel that, in general, they represent between a 12 and 17 percent increase in the different

areas.

Also, there were quite a few areas wherein the wording on the instructions to the advertisers had to be changed and we have proposed some rewording in various areas.

In addition, they would also draw up a nice looking, sharp rate sheet card. Some of these companies, for example, put unbelievable rate sheets out -- gold clusters and all of that sort of thing. As a result, some of these advertisers do not throw them away when they receive them.

Right now, in our case, we are using a mimeographed sheet that I have sent around and probably this, due to the way it looks would get thrown away.

MR. DAVIS: That is an improvement right there.

MR. COMPTON: Also, by having the advertisement handling down it will allow a closer supervision of income and expense and insure we are charging proper rates in relation to our ads.

The wording in relation to our rate sheets was very confusing and it is very easy to improperly charge an advertiser and, therefore, we wanted to be sure that the advertisers were getting charged the proper amount and also to be sure we were receiving the proper income therefrom.

The subscription rate can be justified by increase in quality of our Journal, not to mention the constantly increasing cost factors involved with the printing.

For example, printing costs are now skyrocketing, along with everything else.

The proposed advertising rate sheet is set up with the recent printing costs and the standard market rates and we feel that this is justified and that

we are now just putting our rates where they should have been for the last three to five years. After all, we do not want to lose money. This is supposed to be our income factor.

Also, as you will notice from the budget, I would like to say that it is very, very difficult, with a Journal situation like this, to have a self-supporting budget. It all depends on whether or not we do a good job in relation to the sale of our advertising.

This, in turn, is governed by the material that we have in our magazine.

Now, if we want to get out more material then, in turn, we are going to have to increase the size of our Journal and that, in turn, is going to raise our costs and our advertisements will go right up along with it. Our income is likewise going to come with it and that, in turn, is going to pay for it and, of course, if we get additional advertising, you are going to end up in a profit situation.

I believe that is all I have to say by way of a report to you. However, I will be happy to answer any questions if you have them.

MR. GEORGE: Are you getting a goodly number of scientific articles? Are you having to recruit these types of articles?

MR. COMPTON: At the moment, we are not. We did at first when I took it over but now we are at a stage where we are starting to create a backlog.

We are trying to create a 50-50 balance between scientific and research articles and practical articles. According to the survey made of the membership, they desire both. They don't want one or the other. Most of them specifically state this type of information in the survey results.

However, right now, the problem you might

run into is the matter of increasing the size of the Journal from a 48-page that we have been doing lately to a 60-page Journal. This can be easily done and will allow us to have one, two or three more articles per issue and then, in turn, we will have to go into recruitment of articles.

I don't know, but we appear to be getting better quality articles all the time.

MR. GEORGE: Let me ask you this, with regard to placement of the articles in the Journal. Could we somehow make sure that those scientific articles come further to the front of the Journal?

MR. COMPTON: Well, we have set up the Journal the way it is because a lot of advertisers request the right-hand page. That is ideal for them and so we have therefore set it up to accommodate the advertisers because every time they especially state a particular position preference, we charge them ten percent more in relation to their advertising.

We have set it up so that most of the articles are in the back.

MR. CROWL: What is your reason for that, Frank?

MR. GEORGE: Well, in this (indicating) particular Journal, and I think you have a copy of it, the section for women was first and then the abstracts and then the other things. These all came before the scientific articles. However, I personally believe it should be the other way around.

Those particular sections, the abstracts and all of that, I think they should be at the end of the Journal rather than the beginning. I think the scientific articles should be moved more toward the front.

MR. COMPTON: Of course, that can be done.

Also, they have taken a survey in relation to how to set up the Journal and that type of thing and they have also given us an idea as to how the magazine should be set up. For example, it is said that the matter of coming events should be more toward the end of the Journal.

Now, the way the Journal is set up, if an individual, for example, picks up a given Journal, they are likely to flip through it like this (indicating) and then other people tend to go through it like this (further indicating) and, as a result, you do come to the articles first.

MR. GEORGE: Well, if I were that individual that wrote that nice article in the last one, I would be mad if I were down toward the end of the Journal.

I wonder, for example, if anybody else feels that way. If not, when you read it, just think about it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Insofar as I was concerned, I thought it was a very good Journal. However, I did not pay any attention to the sequence of events.

MR. COMPTON: Of course, the main effort with regard to setting up the Journal like this is to satisfy the advertisers.

The two controlling factors in relation to setting up a Journal are the length of the articles, the actual articles and their position in the Journal. You have to consider the articles and likewise, how much advertising you have. Those are set-up first. After all, the advertisers are paying for their wares being advertised in specific places in relation to your Journal. That involves the business end of it. Most of them request the far forward, right-hand page.

Therefore, if you want to continue a smooth, even article, without interruption, then the tendency is to put that toward the back. You know what

happens when people start to read an article and they then are interrupted with pages in between and they have to turn to the back of the Journal to complete it. They are not very happy about it. However, Frank, I can also see your point of view.

MR. GEORGE: On the other hand, the Journal's express use is for the learning of our members and when they read these scientific articles they are supposed to learn from them and that is the reason they are put in there. I think, therefore, these scientific articles are of more importance than some of the other sections in the Journal.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A lot more important than the advertising.

MR. COMPTON: Well, to my way of thinking, I think if any of our members are going to read and learn, they are going to do this no matter where the article may appear in the magazine.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Is there anything else?

MR. DAVIS: Would you comment about the one particular advertisement that was in there?

MR. COMPTON: I believe you have reference to Johnson and Johnson.

Well, they did not get their advertisement to us on time. In fact, they did not get it to us at all. When they did propose to get it to us, it was beyond our deadline.

They wait until the June issue to put in their advertising and, even on that basis, they did not even come close to presenting it on time. They were something like two weeks over the deadline and we, at that point, considered holding it up because we were ahead of schedule in preparation of it. However, we already had some 16 or 20 pages of the Journal printed and had page numbers assigned to it and if we had gone ahead and put into the Journal these extra ads from Johnson and

Johnson we would not have numbered pages and the United States Post Office frowns on this type of thing. In fact, it is illegal.

We were likewise concerned about this a year ago and were threatened with a fine on it and, therefore, we did not want this to actually happen to us.

MR. DAVIS: As I remember it, they said they would gamble and pay the fine but we did not want to go along with that. Therefore, that is why it is not in there.

They seem to wait until they get into the June issue and they submit about an eight-page catalog, which is what it boils down to. We ended up paying the freight for them for their catalog.

MR. COMPTON: They print the advertisements elsewhere and then send them to us to put into the Journal which, in turn, lessens the quality of the Journal and, besides, the ones they want to send us even had holes punched in them for notebooks. These are really not made to go into a Journal. We did not find out about all of this until later.

MR. GEORGE: I think you have done a great job with your Journal.

I would make a proposal, therefore, that we approve his budget request.

MR. COMPTON: There is one other thing I might request. If any of the Committee Chairmen or Board members have announcements, be sure you get them to me in plenty of time. Once we set up the page numbers and we run what we call a "blue line" and once we have passed that blue line, then there is nothing that can be done. This is the state at which we correct any errors we find at the last minute. However, once we have passed this stage, folks, there is no changing or turning around.

You know, a lot of times, right after I

get this particular phase of it done, I will hear from a Committee Chairman asking if he can put an announcement or something in there and at that point it is just too late to do anything about it -- we just cannot change anything.

MR. GEORGE: I would make a motion we approve recommendations two, three, four and six. I don't think we need any action on number one.

MR. MELHART: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: You have a motion, which has been seconded, to approve recommendations two, three, four and six. Is there discussion?

MR. FLENTJE: Does this mean that Eastern Associates will handle our advertising?

MR. COMPTON: For the Journal, correct.

What we plan on doing is to have direct contact via mail. We will pick out two or three hundred potential advertisers, select a given time, let us say, a one or two-month period and then really indicate to them the advantages of advertising in our Journal.

MR. LEE: How come this hasn't hit the drug companies?

MR. COMPTON: Because I have not been associated with the advertising aspects here. This has all been handled at the home office.

MR. FLENTJE: Eastern Associates will work through you, through the home office or what?

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps I had better explain this.

Eastern Associates is a subsidiary of National Printing.

The only thing that will come out of Lafayette will be the label to go on the magazine.

On the other hand, in the past, these rate sheets, if you did get a new project or new lead in relation to the Journal, they would be sent to Lafayette and Rod, would do the same thing.

For example, if you were to go to the NATA Show and you got a good lead, you would sit down and go through the thing and if this looked like some good prospect, you would send it to Lafayette and then they would address an envelope and put the rate sheet in and that would be the end of it.

MR. COMPTON: One thing I would like to add and that is Burroughs-Welcome is now in Greenville, North Carolina. I contacted them about the feasibility of advertising in the Journal and they said "Well, just send us a rate sheet." I then wrote to their home office, called them and asked them about it and they in turn said they had not heard from us.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, gentlemen, we have before us a motion and a second. Is there further discussion on that?

If not, all in favor signify by raising their right hand; opposed by like sign. It is unanimously approved.

Thank you, Rod, very much.

Now, gentlemen, do you wish to go on with the certification requests and then recess until tomorrow morning?

It is now about twenty minutes of twelve.

The first request is that the Board of Certification be permitted to evaluate certain individuals who, for one reason or another, were not grandfathered into certification in 1970 but who would have been eligible had they been NATA members at that time.

The action requested is that the Board of Certification be permitted to give special consideration to qualified grandfathered athletic trainers, allowing them to take the examination, provided that a three-fourths majority of Board feel they should be granted this consideration and likewise provided they meet all of their requirements previously outlined.

Therefore, as I understand it, they are asking for a change. They are asking for authority, in essence, to reinstate the grandfather aspects of it, to allow the Board of Certification to consider qualifying "grandfathers" by three-quarters majority of the Board of Certification without a check rein on the way it is worded.

Is there discussion on this aspect?

MR. GEORGE: Well, if we are thinking of approving something like this, then I would definitely like to leave the word "grandfather" out of that and it should read "it is requested the Board of Certification be permitted to give special consideration to qualifying athletic trainers, allowing them to take the examination. In addition, each case must be brought to the Board of Directors individually and obtain final approval of the Board of Directors."

PRESIDENT GUNN: Well, you are contradicting yourself when you say "give them permission to allow them to take the examination".

MR. DAVIS: You are going to open this thing up.

Your request could be from the member or individual directly to the Board of Directors and not to the Board of Certification. It should be reviewed here first and then a recommendation made to the Board of Certification.

MR. GEORGE: There may be 25 requests. I am not saying, however, that would be the case.

MR. DAVIS: Well, if you open it up, I am sure you are going to have 25. However, right now you maybe have three.

MR. GEORGE: Let the Board of Certification go through it. Let them work on the reports and make a recommendation to us.

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. GEORGE: You want to go through all of these?

MR. DAVIS: Correct.

MR. LEE: Backwards.

MR. GEORGE: What is "backwards"?

MR. LEE: Having us do it first.

MR. GEORGE: Having us do it first is "backwards"? Let them do the work.

MR. DAVIS: The Board of Certification was created after. It was not to take care of things that happen before -- grandfathers or before.

MR. GEORGE: I would like to take the "grandfather" out completely. Just call them "athletic trainers".

PRESIDENT GUNN: However, you are allowing the Board of Certification to give the examination.

MR. GEORGE: On the other hand, not without our approval.

In addition, each case must be brought to the Board of Directors individually and final Board approval obtained to take the examination.

That is in essence the sentence I want in there.

MR. CROWL: The way I see this is, for example, if there are some 25 requests, the Board of Certification will go through them and they will decide which of those are worthy and then this Board would act on them rather than the total 25.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Isn't that an area of special consideration?

MR. GEORGE: Must have a degree -- more than two years.

MR. DAVIS: I think it ought to be from the individual. It should be a written report to you people and then he comes here in person and presents his case. I feel strongly about this.

If you do it the other way, you are giving up a part of your control. You are allowing the various committees to slowly ease the Board of Directors out on a very, very serious thing.

MR. SHERIDAN: On the other hand, I feel that the grandfather clause is now over with.

MR. GEORGE: This is really not a grandfather clause situation.

MR. SHERIDAN: You begin, as Otho said, to open it up.

MR. DAVIS: I have another question -- where were these people when the thing was all started?

MR. GEORGE: As I understand it, a grandfather clause means that you do not have to take an examination. That is what it means.

MR. DAVIS: On the other hand, if he wants to present his case he should ask for permission for it to be reviewed and permission to take the examination for certification.

Likewise, if he has been a member, he

should be required to pay all back dues. We had one in New Jersey, for example, who paid something over \$100 and, initially, when we started talking with him about it, it was to get him in there in time to take his examination at Kansas City. Well, he waited past the time but he still paid the \$120, I believe it was. He was reinstated as an "active member" and he has to wait until January to take the examination.

MR. GEORGE: I agree with what you said. I think we should make it as strict as possible -- in other words, make them come to the Board of Directors. I cannot see not having that type of provision in there.

For example, maybe we may consider 25 cases and only approve three of them and maybe the Board of Certification will think only one or two of them should be allowed.

Now, if they really want this, then let them do all the initial work, make their approval to us and then we can have the individual come in, explain the circumstances and act from there.

I just cannot see the Board of Directors doing all of that work.

MR. FALB: Again, why open it at all?

MR. DAVIS: Also, as long as it stays here, there are not going to be as many brought in as there will be under another committee.

MR. GEORGE: You mean allowed to take the examination?

MR. DAVIS: I don't think there will be that many to apply directly to the Board of Directors as there would be applied to the Board of Certification.

MR. LANE: Personally, I hate to see the Committee get that power. That will give the Board of

Certification a lot of power.

MR. GEORGE: Even if the Board has to approve it also?

MR. DAVIS: Right.

MR. LANE: I see us getting a lot more that way than if we make them come straight to the Board of Directors.

For example, if an individual like this knows he has to come in here, he will be a lot more careful in what he does and what he writes. Further, he will not be able to blame his problems on somebody else. I have one individual in mind who is in this category.

MR. DAVIS: If I get your point, he says we should have notified him. He is not a member but we should have notified him. How, therefore, are you going to keep up with these people, especially when you don't know where they are?

MR. SHERIDAN: We have another individual in our district who is under the same situation. I believe his name is Montifiore.

MR. GEORGE: He wants to be "grandfathered" without taking the examination. Am I right in indicating that or does he want to take the examination?

There is a big difference.

MR. CROWL: There is one thing I don't understand. He said something about the possibility of legal action. What legal action could there be?

MR. DAVIS: Don't worry about legal action until it comes up.

MR. CROWL: However, what could legally be involved? Those are merely our rules. After all, as

an illustration, you cannot become a doctor until you go to school and pass the required examinations.

MR. GEORGE: Along that line, I believe we have been threatened with that in connection with a lot of other things, with membership and everything else.

PRESIDENT GUNN: I think if a person wants it bad enough and if his cause is just, why should he not come to the governing body of the whole organization and present his case. Then, in turn, he can be referred to the proper Committee for a hearing.

This is the governing body of the NATA and I cannot see where, if you are going to allow them by three-fourths majority of the Board...

MR. GEORGE: Allow them what?

PRESIDENT GUNN: To go on.

MR. GEORGE: All we are allowing them to do is make a recommendation to the Board of Directors. That is all we are doing. We are allowing them to make a recommendation than us doing all the work.

MR. DAVIS: On the other hand, it is going to look worse when they make the recommendation and you overrule it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Why do you need to do it when you are possibly talking about only three individuals? Why do they need it?

MR. CROWL: Who would screen them before they even got here?

I would think they would have to be put through some form of screening before they arrived here.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Why would they need to be screened?

MR. CROWL: Because any individual might apply for that type of thing.

PRESIDENT GUNN: On the other hand, how many have we had apply?

MR. DAVIS: He can apply directly and be channelled for review through the Membership Committee.

MR. CROWL: He would apply directly to the Director of his district in that particular case.

MR. GEORGE: As I understand it, all we are using the Certification Committee for is as a screening committee on this if we approve it.

MR. LANE: On the other hand, this is not the way this particular recommendation reads.

MR. GEORGE: Well, it may not be what it says but, the way I look at it, they make a recommendation to the Board of Directors and then we, in turn, either approve it or do not approve it.

MR. DAVIS: What else are they saying there?

MR. GEORGE: Well, again, I do not want to be screening 25 people. Maybe, after all, that is what we have to decide first -- should we open it and how should we open it -- how about that?

MR. DAVIS: For example, they should have been practicing athletic training for a minimum of ten years. Now, who is going to be the one to decide where or how they were practicing?

MR. FLENTJE: It says "possess a minimum of two years of college work." It doesn't say anything about passing.

MR. GEORGE: Why don't we try to decide on the first issue -- whether or not we should open it up,

and then decide how to do it.

MR. LANE: I do not want to open it up.

For example, I just got through spending nine months with a man like this and if he had not quit last month, I would have fired him.

MR. FALB: I am not a full-time member of this particular Board but I would vote against even opening up this type of thing.

MR. CROWL: No matter what the other guy says, I would not open it up.

MR. GEORGE: So there is nothing to open up. We have decided. That was my initial question -- why should we open it up?

Therefore, it appears to be the consensus that we don't want to open it up and, therefore, why are we arguing about all of these other things?

MR. CROWL: The Board of Directors is unanimous in this decision.

MR. FALB: Being an outside member, I would like to know how you individuals acted on it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Does somebody wish to make a motion?

MR. LANE: I make a motion that number one of the procedure and certification be denied.

MR. FLENTJE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: A motion has been made and seconded that the change in procedure for certification in item number one be denied. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising their right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is

unanimously carried.

MR. DAVIS: Did anybody abstain?

PRESIDENT GUNN: The request is denied.

We proceed to item number two and this involves the policy with regard to future examinations.

MR. MELHART: I have a case that has come up within the last week and I guess what we have just said is that there is no consideration unless they first qualify under the different areas?

For example, I have a case of a fellow who was involved in a divorce, an automobile accident, could not pay his dues, was a member and the like.

MR. GEORGE: Does he have a degree?

MR. DAVIS: To my way of thinking, we have not gotten so big that we cannot take into consideration everybody's problems.

For example, we have a fellow in California who, last year, wrote me a very long and very sad letter and he had many, many problems, family problems, illness, was out of work, could not pay his dues.

I wrote him back and stated that if he would pay the district dues we would waive the National dues for that year. Now, this guy, this year, has paid his dues right on up and is very appreciative. He is not certified. However, last year, he just could not pay his dues.

MR. MELHART: This person also said that he had received certification in Pinky Newell's year. This is not clear to me at the moment. He said "My certificate is at my home in Florida and due to a divorce action and an automobile accident I was unable to continue my dues."

PRESIDENT GUNN: He is mis-using some words there.

He has a certificate of membership. He did not receive any certification prior to 1970.

MR. GEORGE: I would think, for example, in relation to that particular individual, you would need a lot more information than that. When I get these letters, I send them on to Bruce anyway.

MR. MELHART: I have already done that.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Then he requests that you approve his maximum number and you may get some repercussions. However, he has some very valid reasons, physical reasons, logistical reasons.

However, with regard to the next item, what is your pleasure?

MR. GEORGE: I would make a motion we approve that request.

MR. LEE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It has been moved and seconded that request number two be approved. Is there further discussion?

All in favor indicate by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. It is approved.

Now, we proceed to item 3 which has to do with examination fees.

He has requested that examination fees be raised from \$40 to \$50 per candidate effective July 1, 1975. He has also indicated the various justifications and reasons.

What is your pleasure?

MR. GEORGE: I would move adoption of that recommendation.

MR. LEE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GUNN: It has been moved and seconded that fees be raised from \$40 to \$50 per candidate effective July 1, 1975. Is there discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; all opposed by like sign. It is carried.

We next proceed to item 4 which has to do with his budget request for 1974-1975. This involves \$2500 for a two-day meeting of the Board of Certification at Chicago prior to the meeting, mid-year meeting of the Board of Directors. That is presuming, of course, that the Board of Directors will hold its next meeting at Chicago and that, of course, will be up to the incoming President.

He is also requesting \$1,000 for business meetings and item development and \$250 for brochure printings and revisions, the cost to be split with the certification account.

Therefore, he is asking for a total of \$3,750 for the 1974-1975 year.

MR. DAVIS: Which part will be split?

PRESIDENT GUNN: The \$250. All he is asking for is \$3,750 and the other comes from their own account.

MR. SHERIDAN: I would make a motion that we approve the requested budget.

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GUNN: It has been moved and seconded that the budget for the Certification Committee be approved, in the amount of \$3,750 for the fiscal year 1974-1975. Is there discussion?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. The motion is carried.

Now, with regard to committee membership, he

asked that the members be retained for another two-year term.

MR. GEORGE: However, I believe he dropped someone. I believe it was Burkhardt.

PRESIDENT GUNN: He is asking for another two-year term for certain members and the addition of Mike Wiley and Joe Gieck. What is your pleasure?

MR. LEE: I would move approval.

MR. SHERIDAN: Second it.

PRESIDENT GUNN: The motion before you is to approve the request of the Chairman of the Committee with regard to the continuing on the committee of certain members and the addition of certain others. Is there further discussion on this item?

If not, all in favor signify by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. It is carried.

There is one other request and that is that a report be made to the Board of Directors concerning possible examination fees. This is not requesting action -- just a report.

Do you want to consider that request for action, that addendum here, or do you want, at this time, to go into the matter of Berkshire -- where is that?

MR. GEORGE: That is Sports Medicine. I think we have some other items under here for Saturday and so maybe we can do the whole thing at once.

PRESIDENT GUNN: All right.

MR. GEORGE: Yes, let's do the whole thing at once because if we do not approve, then there is no sense in doing this.

PRESIDENT GUNN: Next has to do with the

annual appointment or reappointment of your Executive Director. Are there any nominations for the post of Executive Director.

MR. GEORGE: I would like to recommend that our present Executive Director be unanimously reappointed.

You know, it is amazing how much we, as an organization, get out of a lot of people, a lot of schools, a lot of organizations for really nothing.

PRESIDENT GUNN: What is your recommendation with regard to the Executive Director?

MR. GEORGE: I would make a motion we approve our present Executive Director for another year.

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GUNN: All in favor indicate by raising your right hand; opposed by like sign. It is unanimously carried.

Now, do you want to nominate a Vice President?

Well, actually, we don't have a new President as yet.

Now, at this point, I think it would be a good place at which to recess and meet again at nine o'clock tomorrow morning. We are therefore recessed until nine o'clock tomorrow morning.

...Whereupon, at twelve o'clock midnight, the meeting was recessed to reconvene at nine o'clock the following morning...

- - - - -